

Science Framework for the 2005 National Assessment of Educational Progress



**National Assessment Governing Board
U.S. Department of Education**

What Is NAEP?

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a congressionally mandated project of the U.S. Department of Education's National Center for Education Statistics. It assesses what U.S. students should know and be able to do in geography, reading, writing, mathematics, science, U.S. history, the arts, civics, and other academic subjects. Since 1969, NAEP has surveyed the achievement of students at ages 9, 13, and 17 and, since the 1980s, in grades 4, 8, and 12. Measuring educational achievement trends over time is critical to measuring progress toward the National Education Goals.

The National Assessment Governing Board

The National Assessment Governing Board (NAGB) was created by Congress to formulate policy for the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). Among the Board's responsibilities are developing objectives and test specifications, and designing the assessment methodology for NAEP.

Members

Darvin M. Winick, Chair
President

Winick and Associates
Dickinson, Texas

Honorable Jo Ann Pottorff, Vice Chair

State Legislator
Topeka, Kansas

Amanda Avallone
Eighth-Grade Teacher
Boulder, Colorado

Barbara Byrd-Bennett
Chief Executive Officer
Cleveland Municipal School District
Cleveland, Ohio

Carl Cohn
Clinical Professor
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California

Shirley Dickson
Educational Consultant
Laguna Niguel, California

Edward Donley
Former Chair
Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.
Allentown, Pennsylvania

John Q. Easton
Executive Director
Consortium on Chicago School Research
University of Chicago
Chicago, Illinois

Honorable Dwight Evans
State Legislator
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Sheila Ford
Elementary School Principal
Washington, D.C.

David W. Gordon
County Superintendent of Schools
Sacramento County Office of Education
Sacramento, California

Juanita Haugen
Local School Board President
Pleasanton, California

Henry L. Johnson
Superintendent of Education
Mississippi Department of Education
Jackson, Mississippi

Kathi M. King
Twelfth-Grade Teacher
Oakland, Maine

Kim Kozbial-Hess
Fourth-Grade Teacher
Toledo, Ohio

Honorable Ronnie Musgrove
Former Governor of Mississippi
Jackson, Mississippi

Diane Ravitch
Senior Research Scholar
New York, New York

Mark Reckase
Professor
Measurement & Quantitative Methods
Michigan State University
East Lansing, Michigan

Sister Lourdes M. Sheehan
Associate General Secretary
United States Catholic Conference
Washington, D.C.

John H. Stevens
Executive Director
Texas Business and Education Coalition
Austin, Texas

Honorable Michael E. Ward
State Superintendent of Public Instruction
North Carolina Public Schools
Raleigh, North Carolina

Eileen L. Weiser
Member, State Board of Education
Michigan Department of Education
Lansing, Michigan

Dennie Palmer Wolf
Director, Opportunity & Accountability Initiatives
Annenberg Institute for School Reform
Providence, Rhode Island

Grover (Russ) Whitehurst
(Ex-Officio) Director
Institute of Education Sciences
U.S. Department of Education
Washington, D.C.

Charles E. Smith
Executive Director, NAGB
Washington, DC

Science Framework for the 2005 National Assessment of Educational Progress



**National Assessment Governing Board
U.S. Department of Education**

National Assessment Governing Board

Darvin M. Winick

Chair

Jo Ann Pottorff

Vice Chair

Charles E. Smith

Executive Director

Sharif M. Shakrani

Contracting Officer

Mary Crovo

Project Officer

NAEP Science Consensus Project

Ramsay W. Selden

Council of Chief State School Officers

Richard C. Clark

Council of Chief State School Officers

Senta A. Raizen

National Center for Improving Science Education

Science Framework for the 2005 National Assessment of Educational Progress

Developed under contract number RS90081001 by the Council of Chief State School Officers with the National Center for Improving Science Education and the American Institutes for Research for the National Assessment Governing Board.

For further information, contact:

National Assessment Governing Board

800 North Capitol Street, NW.

Suite 825

Washington, DC 20002–4233

www.nagb.org

September 2004

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Background	1
Development of the <i>NAEP Science Assessment Framework</i>	2
Steering Committee Guidelines	4
Chapter One: The Nature of Science and the Science Curriculum	7
The Nature of Science	7
The Science Curriculum	9
Chapter Two: The Framework for the NAEP Science Assessment	11
Introduction	11
Framework Elements	13
The Matrix	13
The Fields of Science	17
Earth Science	17
Physical Science	19
Life Science	20
Knowing and Doing Science	20
Conceptual Understanding	21
Scientific Investigation	23
Practical Reasoning	25
The Nature of Science	26
Science	26
Technology	27
Themes	28
Chapter Three: Desired Attributes of the Assessment	31
Assessment Issues	31
The Nature of Testing and the Nature of Knowledge and Learning	31
Inferring Understanding From Student Responses	32
Developments in Assessment	34
Criteria for Assessing Learning and Achievement in Science	34
Achievement Levels in Science	35

Chapter Four: Characteristics of Assessment Exercises	37
Types of Exercises	37
Performance Exercises	37
Open-Ended Pencil-and-Paper Items	38
Multiple-Choice Items	39
Additional Considerations.....	39
Pilot Testing	40
Procedures for Development of the Assessment	41
Special Study in Science	41
Selected Readings	43
Appendix A: NAEP Science Achievement	
Level Descriptions	45
Appendix B: Fields of Science	55
Earth Science	57
Physical Science	62
Life Science	72
Appendix C: Examples of Themes by Grade Level	77
Systems	79
Patterns of Change.....	80
Models	83
Appendix D: Science Content Outlines (Excerpts)	87
Grade 4—Earth Science	89
Grade 8—Life Science	90
Grade 12—Physical Science	93
Appendix E: Science Committees and Project Staff	97
NAEP Science Project Team	99

Introduction

This document contains the design and rationale for assessing science achievement of students throughout the United States in 2005. This same Framework has been used by NAEP for the 1996 and 2000 science assessments. The Framework provides a general overview of NAEP, describes the NAEP Science Framework adopted by NAGB, and reviews the process by which the Framework was developed.

Background

NAEP is authorized by Congress and funded by the federal government; it is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment of what America's students know and can do. For more than 35 years, NAEP has been charged with collecting and reporting information on student achievement in mathematics, reading, science, U.S. history, writing, and other subjects. Originally, assessments were of students at ages 9, 13, and 17, but beginning in 1983, they also included students in grades 4, 8, and 12.

NAEP reports provide descriptive information about student performance, including basic and higher order skills, in various subjects and comparisons of performance by race/ethnicity, gender, type of community, and geographic region. NAEP data also show relationships between achievement and certain background variables, such as the time spent on homework or the educational level of parents.

In the past, only results from a national sample of students were reported for each NAEP subject assessed, but, in 1987, a national study group chaired by Lamar Alexander, then governor of Tennessee, and H. Thomas James, president emeritus of the Spencer Foundation, recommended to the Secretary of Education that NAEP collect representative data on student achievement at the state level. In 1990, a trial state assessment was conducted for eighth-grade mathematics. State-level assessments since have become a major part of NAEP in reading, writing, mathematics, and science. In 2002, NAEP conducted the first Trial Urban District Assessment (TUDA) in nine urban districts that volunteered to participate. The

NAEP TUDA component has continued in subsequent years in reading and mathematics.

Science educators, by and large, do not quarrel with the essential concept of assessment, but there has been no formal agreement on a common framework, outcomes, or goals and objectives to assess. The NAEP Science Assessment attempts to reflect a comprehensive, contemporary view of science so that those affected by the National Assessment are satisfied that it addresses the complex issues in science education without oversimplification. The framers of this document have attempted to tread a fine line between clear communication and technical accuracy in the hope that their efforts represent a step forward in building national consensus on the key outcomes of science education.

Development of the *NAEP Science Assessment Framework*

The following factors guided the process for developing consensus on the *NAEP Science Assessment Framework*:

The first factor is the general process of consensus development, both as it is set forth in law and as it evolves over time. The process calls for active participation and broad involvement of curriculum specialists; science teachers; local science supervisors; state supervisors; administrators; parents; representatives of scientific associations, business and industry, government, and unions; cognitive psychologists; and science educators as well as participation from the public and private education sectors.

The second factor is emphasis on the important outcomes of science education. As much as possible, this Framework represents what is considered essential learning in science, and set the stage for the 1996 and subsequent assessments. The Framework also recommends innovative assessment techniques to probe critical abilities and content areas.

The third factor is recognition that the various “players” in education and industry often hold diverse, and sometimes conflicting, views. Further, research and general agreement in the field is lacking. This lack of agreement on a common scope of instruction

and sequence, the components of scientific literacy, the important outcomes of learning, and the nature of overarching themes in science hinders clear communication between science educators and the public.

The process of developing the Framework document and accompanying reports occurred between October 1990 and August 1991. Original plans called for a new NAEP Science Assessment to be given in 1994. Due to a budget shortfall, however, both the new science and mathematics assessments were rescheduled for 1996.

For the consensus process, a steering committee of 18 members (see appendix E) recommended by the education community and the private sector was established. Its members developed the principles that guided the creation of the Framework. A smaller planning committee (see appendix E), composed of practitioners, recognized experts in science education, and scientists, was established to identify goals and objectives and to produce the Framework. Together with the steering committee, it was also responsible for suggesting ways to assess important outcomes of science education.

The planning committee met monthly from November 1990 through April 1991 and was joined in its first and final meetings by the steering committee, which reviewed and reacted to all Framework drafts. Staff of the American Institutes for Research (AIR), a subcontractor, also attended the meetings. On the basis of this input and reaction and advice from the committees, AIR formulated specifications for the science assessment.

NAGB Subject Area Committee #2 and technical staff closely monitored the consensus project work and Board members were involved in all key phases. In addition, advice was sought from the organizations and sectors that affect and are affected by science education. For instance:

- Opportunities for public input were provided at two hearings (in Washington, D.C., and in San Francisco). Opinions were expressed by representatives of institutions (for example, public and private education, scientific and science education organizations) likely to be affected by or concerned with the Framework and subsequent assessments.

- The Council of State Science Supervisors was kept abreast of project developments through its electronic communication system, PSInet. Input from the Council of State Science Supervisors was particularly important in helping the NAEP Science Assessment Project define “big ideas” or **Themes** in science learning (see chapter 2). For example:
 - States with existing frameworks for science instruction were contacted for copies of their frameworks and assessment methods.
 - Planning and steering committee members hosted sessions at both regional and national science education meetings to report on the development of the *NAEP Science Assessment Framework*.
 - The revised draft of the Framework was widely circulated in June 1991 within the science education and science communities for reaction and comments.

Steering Committee Guidelines

At its early meeting, the steering committee drafted guidelines for the planning committee’s work and recommended that the Framework and ensuing NAEP Science Assessment have the following five characteristics:

- The Framework should reflect the best thinking about the knowledge, skills, and competencies needed for a high degree of scientific understanding among all students in the United States. Accordingly, it should:
 - encompass knowledge and use of organized factual information, relationships among concepts, major ideas unifying the sciences, and thinking and laboratory skills;
 - be based on current understandings from research on teaching, learning, and student performance in science.

- Both the Framework and the NAEP Science Assessment should:
 - address the nature and practices of knowing in science as they differ from other ways of knowing;
 - reflect the quantitative aspects of science as well as the concepts of life, Earth, and physical sciences;
 - deal with issues raised by the role of science and technology in society;
 - include practical problemsolving that involves design, use of materials, and weighing risks in relation to benefits;
 - take into account the developmental levels of students;
 - ensure that students with diverse backgrounds are assessed in ways that provide them with equal and fair opportunities to reflect their knowledge and performance.
- Assessment formats should be used that are consistent with the objectives being assessed. A variety of strategies for assessing student performance are advocated, including:
 - performance tasks that allow students to manipulate physical objects and draw scientific understandings from the materials before them;
 - open-ended items that provide insights into students' levels of understanding and ability to communicate in the sciences, as well as their ability to generate, rather than simply recognize, information related to scientific concepts and their interconnections;
 - collections of student work over time (such as portfolios) that demonstrate what students can achieve outside the time constraints of a standardized assessment situation;
 - multiple-choice items that probe students' conceptual understanding and ability to connect ideas in a scientifically sound way.

- The assessment should contain a broad enough range of items at different levels of proficiency to identify three achievement levels for each grade.
- Information on students' demographic and other background characteristics should be collected. Additional information should be collected from students, teachers, and administrators about instructional programs and delivery systems so that their relationships with student achievement can be ascertained and used to inform program and policy decisions.

Chapter One

The Nature of Science and the Science Curriculum

The Nature of Science

The various fields of science all have their own special ways of knowing. However, for the purpose of planning appropriate assessments, the essentials of the natural sciences should be defined.

The natural sciences are characterized by organized explanations incorporating both theoretical and empirical elements. Scientists attempt to construct theories that encompass as much factual and conceptual knowledge, including laws and principles, as possible. The construction of theories is a process involving the consideration of factual evidence, insightful questioning, creativity, and imagination.

Atomic theory and evolution theory are good examples of modern scientific theories that are central to the sciences as ways of knowing. They are sources of new hypotheses and logical deductions that can be tested. As these theories are refined, they continue to stimulate new questions and hypotheses. As with other scientific theories, each time they come into play in experimental situations, they are again subject to testing and the possibility of refutation. Each success broadens their domain and increases their usefulness. Verification of theories does not ensure truth, but it does extend their usefulness in explaining natural phenomena.

Useful theories enable an individual to make predictions under a specified range of conditions. Scientific theories must be able to be tested according to standards of evidence and logical argumentation set by the scientific community; they are central to the scientific way of knowing and guiding observation.

When scientists observe natural phenomena, their observations are made within the context of existing scientific theories. Nonscientists holding different views may perceive the same phenomena, but through their subjective belief systems, may arrive at different conclusions. History is replete with examples of misunderstandings and miscommunication that are based, at least in part, on the use of different rules of observation.

Science consists of both theoretical and experimental knowledge that is constructed by the creativity, knowledge, and world view of scientists. Experimentation plays an essential role in generating and verifying scientific information. New findings and results of scientific observation and experimentation accumulate, enlarging the base of present knowledge and laying the foundation for future learning. Usually, scientific knowledge is structured, forming a web of inter-related concepts, laws, and principles. Whereas sensory data are sometimes ephemeral, concepts are precisely formulated and are connected to other concepts or sensory data within a theory in multiple ways. Concepts without factual content (sensory data) are empty, whereas sensory data without concepts are difficult to understand and open to misinterpretation.

None of the processes usually associated with science (for example, observing, measuring, classifying, deducing, inferring) is unique to science. However, in science, these processes are given meaning by the context of the subject matter under investigation. Hence, observations of a mealworm seemingly walking endlessly around the sides of a container have new meaning when mealworm anatomy, behavior, and physiology are understood. Likewise, the wiggly lines a physicist observes on a photographic negative of a cloud chamber remain wiggly lines to most observers, but they become important sensory data (facts) when the conditions under which the lines were produced are known. A goal of science education, therefore, is to help students recognize the difference between personal opinion and knowledge gained through scientific investigation and debate.

Although the scientific disciplines are alike in their reliance on evidence, use of logic, and organization of factual information into concepts and theories, they differ with respect to what constitutes

evidence, specific methods of investigation, and degree of quantification. And yet, “there are common understandings among [scientists] about what constitutes an investigation that is scientifically valid” (American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1989). This view of the nature of science has profound implications for assessment, as reflected in the criteria outlined in chapter 3.

The Science Curriculum

Because a major purpose of NAEP is to illuminate education policy, assessment of student science learning must take into account the science curriculum. Hence, the *NAEP Science Assessment Framework* is based on a consensus regarding desirable elements of science education against which student attainment is to be measured.

In developing the Framework, the planning committee reviewed key blue-ribbon reports, examined exemplary practices, studied local and state-based innovations in science curricula, reviewed science education literature, and noted innovations emerging in other countries. Recent reports by government agencies and professional societies (National Science Board Commission on Precollege Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology, 1983; American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1989; National Science Teachers Association, 1989; National Research Council, 1990) express unanimity in their goals for science education. For example:

- Students should acquire a core of scientific understanding, including organized factual information.
- Students should acquire the ability to relate one scientific concept to others and to problems they encounter in and out of school.
- Students should be able to apply science knowledge in practical ways.
- Students should be familiar with experimental design and have the ability to carry out scientific experiments that are developmentally appropriate.

- Students should acquire the science knowledge and understanding that will allow them the opportunity to pursue further study in scientific fields or enter science- or technology-related careers.

There also are similarities in the reports' recommendations on the science curricula and instruction needed to achieve these goals, including:

- reduction of the traditional breadth of coverage in favor of greater depth, especially in high school science;
- emphasis on development of such thinking processes as organizing factual knowledge around major concepts, defining and solving problems, accessing information and reasoning with it, and communicating with others about one's science results and understandings;
- multidisciplinary and interdisciplinary approaches to science teaching;
- approaches that encourage active student involvement and participation, such as participating in hands-on science activities; learning in small, cooperative groups; reflecting orally and in writing on experiences; and completing sustained projects;
- increased participation of underrepresented populations in challenging school science.

The design of the *NAEP Science Assessment Framework*, although maintaining some conceptual continuity with the 1990 NAEP Science Assessment, takes into account current reforms in science education. It is also consistent with the science framework used for the 1991 International Assessment of Educational Progress and the science framework for the 1995 International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA) assessments.

Chapter Two

The Framework for the NAEP Science Assessment

Introduction

It is customary to collect relevant curriculum guides, frameworks, and other course outlines to get a sense of what students are studying throughout the nation when developing a framework for an educational assessment. The union of all these “learning guides” is used to develop overall test specifications. Such an approach tends to have an unfortunate consequence: it often leads to a broad, trivialized, lowest common denominator approach to assessment. However, these materials can serve another purpose, that of documenting trends and developments in science education throughout the country. In the *NAEP Science Assessment Framework* development process, science reform reports from states, in addition to several large-city science curriculum guides, were gathered and used to establish consonance between the evolving Framework and the most forward-looking of the reports and guides.

The traditional approach to teaching science tends to emphasize rote memorization of facts without connection or organization. Although it must not lose sight of the need for factual knowledge that is fundamental to science literacy, assessment of science achievement must change to give more emphasis to conceptual understanding and the application of knowledge and skills for several compelling reasons. First, the expansion of scientific information has resulted in far too many facts for a student to memorize. It is more efficient to store them electronically (or in other forms) and access information as needed. Second, isolated science facts that are not organized or used tend to be forgotten quickly and, even when remembered, form a poor basis for learning. Third, it is desirable to encourage science instruction that is used both to deepen understanding and to address challenging problems. Science education is best served

when students can understand and discuss ideas rather than simply accumulate unconnected facts.

Therefore, it is important that science assessment covers major topics like electricity and magnetism, forces and motions, life cycles, ecosystems, plate tectonics, and climatology. However, even these topics need to be viewed as shorthand for a much richer understanding of what students should attain. Several current reform reports and frameworks, such as *Science for All Americans: A Project 2061 Report on Literacy Goals in Science, Mathematics, and Technology* (American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1989), innovative state frameworks, and reports from the National Center for Improving Science Education (1990, 1991) describe desired outcomes of science instruction in new ways. They advocate mastery of fundamentals in ways that are more likely to result in students' learning. The approach advocated in these documents is reinforced by the findings of science educators and cognitive researchers, demonstrating that if students do not completely learn the concepts presented to them, they pass through the K–12 grades without fundamentally changing the conceptual models they learned in their early years.

Whereas it is easy to argue for a test that emphasizes conceptual understanding rather than topical listings and recognition of definitions from a list of choices, it is more difficult to agree on how science learning should be assessed. The science courses that were developed in the late 1950s and early 1960s used a more conceptual organization for textbooks and teaching materials. These programs, funded by the National Science Foundation, became major influences on the country's secondary science textbooks from 1960 to 1970 and helped raise standards of students' science achievement (Shymansky et al., 1983). Unfortunately, old-style textbooks have since returned, with expanded numbers of pages that include many newly emerging topics. The effect on students' understanding of science has not been beneficial. The *NAEP Science Assessment Framework* incorporates a balance of knowledge and skills based on current reform reports, exemplary curriculum guides, and research on the teaching and learning of science.

Framework Elements

The Framework for the NAEP Science Assessment is organized according to two major dimensions: **Fields of Science** and **Knowing and Doing Science**. The **Fields of Science** are Earth, physical, and life sciences. **Knowing and Doing Science** includes **Conceptual Understanding**, **Scientific Investigation**, and **Practical Reasoning**. These two dimensions and their subdimensions are explained in greater detail below and in appendix B.

The Matrix

The *NAEP Science Assessment Framework* is structured according to a matrix similar to that used for the 1990 NAEP Science Assessment. The content areas are organized into the same three fields; however, an additional requirement for some interdisciplinary exercises merges technology with the science content areas. **The Nature of Science** (which also was part of the 1990 Framework) and **Themes** are categories that should integrate the three fields of science, rather than represent separate content. The

Knowing and Doing	Fields of Science		
	Earth	Physical	Life
Conceptual Understanding			
Scientific Investigation			
Practical Reasoning			
Nature of Science			
Themes Systems, Models, Patterns of Change			

Knowing and Doing dimension is a reorganization of the **Thinking Skills** dimension that was a part of the 1990 NAEP Science Assessment, with a clearer delineation of subcategories, particularly with respect to **Practical Reasoning**. Each element of the *NAEP Science Assessment Framework* is addressed briefly below and in greater detail in separate appendixes.

With respect to **Fields of Science**, the main emphasis of the assessment should be on knowledge in the content areas. Details follow:

- Distribution of content across the three science fields should be approximately equal in grades 4 and 12.
- For grade 8, the Framework places a somewhat heavier emphasis on life science (40 percent), with physical and Earth sciences distributed equally (30 percent each). The distribution for grade 8 reflects the importance of human biology, which gets increased recognition in both curriculum and instruction, for this age group.
- A limited number of exercises at every grade level should address technology and its relationship to science. Although every item need not do so, exercises and tasks that draw from more than one discipline at the same time are highly desirable, as they are more likely to mirror science problems that occur in the real world.

More specific guidance on the distribution of content from the three fields is given in the **Specifications** document, which is used by test developers to create the assessment.

A major emphasis with respect to **Knowing and Doing Science** should be on students' active expression of conceptual understanding. Details follow:

- At each grade level, 45 percent of content should be devoted to **Conceptual Understanding**, or the ability to understand basic concepts and tools used in the process of a scientific investigation.

- **Scientific Investigation**, which is the ability to use the appropriate tools and thinking processes in the application of science, should be more heavily emphasized in grade 4 (45 percent) than in grades 8 and 12 (30 percent at each of these grade levels). This is desirable because learning by doing is crucial for younger students, and ways of knowing in science need to be introduced early.
- **Practical Reasoning** involves suggesting effective solutions to everyday problems by applying scientific knowledge and using skills. The ability to engage in practical reasoning is essential if a student is to solve complex problems or apply previous knowledge to an everyday problem. The proportion of practical reasoning questions should be 10 percent in grade 4 and 25 percent in grades 8 and 12.
- Many exercises will involve more than one of the subdimensions in **Knowing and Doing Science**.
- The percentages cited above should not be interpreted as immutable; they should serve as a general guide for test development. As new assessment tasks are developed, assessment of conceptual understanding may become a part of exercises that measure scientific investigations and practical reasoning.

More detailed guidelines on the distribution of **Knowing and Doing** items are provided in the **Specifications** document.

Every question or task in the assessment should be classifiable by one or more subcategories in each of the two major dimensions of the matrix. In addition to the two major dimensions, the Framework includes two other categories that pertain to a limited subset of items. The first concerns students' understanding of the **Nature of Science**:

- This category includes the history of science and technology, the habits of mind that characterize these fields, and methods of inquiry and problemsolving.
- At least 15 percent of the content should measure the **Nature of Science**. Within this segment, somewhat more than half (about 60 percent) should deal with the nature of science and

somewhat less than half (about 40 percent) with the nature of technology.

In specifying these percentages, it is assumed that assessment items can be developed to measure knowledge of content within a field of science or an area of **Knowing and Doing Science**, in addition to measuring knowledge of the **Nature of Science**.

The second category, **Themes**, represents big ideas or key organizing concepts that pervade science education. Themes cross traditional science discipline boundaries and make up the inquiry tools that scientists use to better investigate and understand phenomena. These themes include the notion of **Systems** and their application in the disciplines; **Models** and their function in the development of scientific understanding and application to practical problems; and **Patterns of Change** as they are exemplified in natural phenomena. Guidelines follow:

- The assessment should probe, in a developmentally appropriate way, students' understanding of these themes.
- Students in grade 4 should build beginning notions related to systems, models, and patterns of change; about one-third of the assessment (spread evenly across the three themes) should measure themes as well as content from one or more of the fields of science.
- Fifty percent of the assessment content in grades 8 and 12 should assess students' understanding of the themes; questions should be distributed evenly among the three themes.
- The assessment exercises must address a specific theme within a science content area so that an understanding of the content and the theme are probed at the same time.

More detailed guidelines on assessing themes are provided in the **Specifications** document.

Understanding, doing, and using science often involve tasks that include more than one category in each dimension. Multiple-duty exercises may present some scoring challenges. Relatively simple exercises will be scorable according to one or two subdimensions

that include, for example, a “conceptual understanding in the physical sciences.” More complex items may be scorable in several subcategories; for example, an open-ended task involving ecosystems might yield responses scorable according to “conceptual understanding in the Earth sciences,” “scientific investigation in the life sciences,” and “systems.” Such items, which contribute to more than one subcategory, may prove difficult to reproduce through similar items having the same properties in future assessments. It is important, therefore, to specify carefully the several subdimensions or subcategories that each such exercise is intended to probe. Scoring rubrics for each of the subdimensions also must be developed.

The Fields of Science

The descriptions below are summaries of the major topic areas to be probed within each field in the NAEP Science Assessment. This content represents key elements in science that all students should be expected to know and understand. For a complete explanation, including descriptions of subject matter knowledge to be expected at each grade level, see appendix A.

Earth Science

The NAEP Science Assessment will probe student understanding of how Earth scientists depict data through maps and other means to interpret objects, their features and structures, and the events and processes that caused them. What do students know about their own position with respect to objects and structures on, below, and above the Earth’s surface? What do students know about the changes in position of objects and environments through time? What do students know about the relative movements of the Earth, the Moon, the Sun, and the planets? The content to be assessed in Earth science centers on objects and features that are relatively accessible or visible: the solid Earth (lithosphere), water (hydrosphere), air (atmosphere), and the Earth in space. With respect to Earth science, the NAEP Science Assessment should focus on the following concepts and topics.

Concepts related to solid Earth:

- composition of the Earth

- forces that alter the Earth's surface
- rocks and their formation, characteristics, and uses
- soil and its changes and uses
- natural resources used by humankind
- forces within the Earth

Concepts related to water:

- water cycle
- nature of the oceans and their effects on water and climate
- location, distribution, and characteristics of water, and its effect and influence on human activity

Concepts related to air:

- composition and structure of the atmosphere, including energy transfer
- nature of weather
- common weather hazards
- air quality and climate

Concepts related to Earth in space:

- setting of the Earth in the solar system
- setting and evolution of the solar system in the universe
- tools and technology used to gather information about space
- apparent daily motions of the Sun, the Moon, the planets, and the stars
- rotation of the Earth about its axis and the Earth's revolution around the Sun
- tilt of the Earth's axis that produces seasonal variations in climate
- Earth as a unique member of the solar system (may be approximated in other galaxies in the universe) that evolved at least 4.5 billion years ago

Physical Science

The physical science component of the NAEP Science Assessment relates to basic knowledge and understanding concerning the structure of the universe as well as the physical principles that operate within it. The assessment should probe the following major topics: matter and its transformations, energy and its transformations, and the motion of things. The NAEP Science Assessment should focus on the following physical science concepts.

Matter and its transformations:

- diversity of materials, including the classification, types, and particulate nature of matter
- temperature and states of matter
- properties and uses of material, including modifying properties and the synthesis of materials with new properties
- resource management

Energy and its transformations:

- forms of energy
- energy transformations in living systems, natural physical systems, and artificial systems constructed by humans
- energy sources and use, including distribution, energy conversion, and energy costs and depletion

Motion:

- an understanding of frames of reference
- force and changes in position and motion
- action and reaction
- vibrations and waves as motion
- general wave behavior
- electromagnetic radiation
- interactions of electromagnetic radiation with matter

Life Science

The fundamental goal of life science is to attempt to understand and explain the nature and function of living things. During the 20th century, the focus of biological research has changed from descriptive natural history to experimental investigation, with evolution as the central, unifying theory. The following list contains the major concepts to be assessed in life science.

Change and evolution:

- diversity of life on Earth
- genetic variation within a species
- theories of adaptation and natural selection
- changes in diversity over time

Cells and their functions:

- information transfer
 - energy transfer for the construction of proteins
 - communication among cells

Organisms:

- reproduction, growth, and development
- life cycles
- functions and interactions of systems within organisms

Ecology:

- interdependence of life (populations, communities, and ecosystems)

Knowing and Doing Science

In the 1990 NAEP Science Assessment, three categories were used: **Knowing Science**, **Solving Problems**, and **Conducting Inquiries**. For the current NAEP Science Assessment, it should be noted that not only has the **Knowing Science** dimension been changed, but its meaning has also been redefined as well. It has been reformulated as **Conceptual Understanding of Science** to

stress the connections and the organization of factual knowledge in science.

The subdimension **Scientific Investigation** has been substituted for the 1990 category **Conducting Inquiries**. This new subcategory is intended to probe students' abilities to use the tools of science, including both cognitive and laboratory tools. Appropriate to their age and grade level, students should be able to acquire new information, plan appropriate investigations, use a variety of scientific tools, and communicate the results of their investigations.

The 1990 category **Solving Problems** proved to be ambiguous. To emphasize the need to assess students' abilities to use and apply science understanding in new, real-world applications, the category has been redefined as **Practical Reasoning**. Practical reasoning subsumes competence in analyzing a problem, planning and evaluating appropriate approaches, carrying out the required procedures for the approach(es) selected, and evaluating the result(s).

Each of the three categories is described below.

Conceptual Understanding

Mastery of basic scientific concepts can best be shown by a student's ability to use information to conduct a scientific investigation or engage in practical reasoning. Optimally, essential scientific concepts involve a variety of information, including:

- facts and events learned from science instruction and through experiences with the natural environment;
- scientific concepts, principles, laws, and theories that scientists use to explain and predict observations of the natural world;
- information about procedures for conducting scientific inquiries;
- information about procedures for the application of scientific knowledge in the engagement of practical tasks;
- propositions about the nature, history, and philosophy of science;

- kinds of interactions between and among science, technology, and society.

The goal of school science is to engender conceptual understanding. Students should acquire information in ways that will enable them to apply it efficiently in the design and execution of scientific investigations and in practical reasoning.

A challenge in the design of assessment exercises is to capture changes in the characteristics of student performance as children mature. In the primary years, when the goal of school science is to build a rich collection of information derived from examined experiences with the natural environment, the assessment of conceptual understanding will focus on the breadth of information acquired about the natural world and the student's ability to elaborate on principles by using personal experiences. Does the student know the cyclical changes in the apparent shape of the Moon over time? More importantly, can the student relate how he or she knows about the changes? What evidence does the assessment exercise provide that the student's information is based on direct experience? Is there a science notebook in which the student recorded observations of the Moon over time? Does the student know that sometimes the Moon is visible during daylight hours? In the primary years, the focus should not be on explanation or prediction, but instead on knowledge obtained from rich experiences in school. Consequently, assessment exercises would not be concerned with having students explain why the Moon appears to change shape but rather with relationships between time of day, apparent positions of the Sun and the Moon, and times of moonrise and sunset.

In the middle and high school years, the emphasis should shift from richness of experience to reasonable scientific interpretation of observations. In the elementary years, the primary concern should be with how well reasoned the interpretation is presented by the student, not with whether it reflects the most sophisticated scientific reasoning. However, at grades 8 and 12, the assessment should be increasingly concerned with the congruence of the students' interpretations with accepted interpretations, as well as with the sophistication of their reasoning in moving from observations of the natural world to explanations and predictions. Of special interest in the 2005 NAEP Science Assessment will be the extent to which

students are able to understand and use the notions of models, systems, and patterns of change.

It is important to note that many aspects of conceptual understanding as defined for the new NAEP Science Assessment cannot be tested using exclusively multiple-choice items. Items of this kind may be satisfactory for assessing individual parts of the information base, but they are limited in tapping highly valued aspects of conceptual understanding.

Scientific Investigation

Scientific investigation represents the activities of science that distinguish it from other ways of knowing about the world. It incorporates such previously used assessment categories as “processes of science” and “scientific problemsolving.” This category is not just another name for the scientific method. Indeed, there is great confusion about the scientific method in the teaching of science. Real science is doing what one can in any way one can, often creatively and insightfully and using flashes of insight with little regard for a progression of steps. However, there is a familiar format and context for reporting the results of experiments. It begins with the report of the problem and continues with the hypothesis, the experimental design, the data collected, the analysis of those data, and the conclusions (if any). This convention of science is often mistaken for how scientists actually work. The results must satisfy logical analysis, but logical ordering may appear only when the report is prepared. A great disservice has been done to generations of students because well-meaning people have taught the standard method of *reporting* science as the standard method of *doing* science.

Scientific investigations must be designed at levels appropriate to the development of the students. This component has important implications for assessment. Young students are limited in their ability to perceive the scale of both very large and very small things. Students’ limitations handicap them when they are forced, either by the textbook or by the curriculum, to deal with developmentally inappropriate concepts such as atoms or even cells. Young students are also developmentally limited in their ability to understand time. The distant past and the future are narrowly perceived by the ego-centric student. Instruction, as well as assessment, must recognize

where the student is and take developmental levels into account. As students develop and accumulate experiences, their performance in doing scientific investigations should begin to look more and more like “real” science.

Central to the ways scientists work is the concern for a fair test, for a controlled experiment. Children seem to have an intuitive sense of what makes a fair test. What they lack is the ability to consider all the variables and the means to control the variables. It might be reasonable to consider a developmental continuum such as the following when thinking about control of variables:

- The first level of variables contains the simplest type: the *nominal variable*. Nominal variables have two or more unordered values: “This plant was watered; that plant was not.” “This seed was placed in the sunlight and that one was placed in the dark.”
- The second level of variables is the *ordinal variables* level. These variables have a sequential order and no determined intervals (for example, the sequential ordering of objects by relative weight).
- The third level of variables is the *continuous variables* level. These variables have sequence and equal intervals and are on a continuous scale: “This object has a temperature of 50 degrees Celsius and that object has a temperature of 57 degrees Celsius.”
- The fourth level of variables is the *ratio variables* level. These variables are similar to continuous variables but have an absolute beginning point (for example, Kelvin temperature scale with an absolute zero point).

As students are asked to demonstrate their ability to do scientific investigations, it is important to keep in mind this sort of development in understanding and performance, not only with respect to the control of variables, but also regarding the other elements of doing science. The difficulty with the assessment may not be with the content, but with the level of variable embedded in the content.

Practical Reasoning

Practical reasoning about matters with scientific content (that is, the ability to apply one's knowledge, thought, and action to real situations, not textbook problems) is influenced by the ability to (1) abstract and consider hypothetical experiences, (2) consider several factors simultaneously, (3) take a depersonalized view, and (4) realize the importance of practical reasoning and life experience. These factors develop throughout life.

One of the characteristics of young children is that they have difficulty dealing with multiple ideas simultaneously. With maturity and experience, they can consider several ideas at once and weigh benefits in relation to costs or risks. Their ability to abstract and consider hypothetical situations develops as students progress in science and learn to deal with more remote phenomena and generalizations.

As they mature, students also learn to take depersonalized views of situations and to consider other people's points of view. Often, real-life problems involve not only theoretical and technical elements, but also personal preferences. What will be the social impact of a new waste disposal system? What will neighbors say if a traffic light is installed? How will other students react if lunchroom noise is diminished by staggering the lunch hour? To consider these questions carefully, it is necessary to understand different perspectives. The ability to understand the viewpoints of others increases with age and experience.

Young children also may not realize the need for scientific information in solving problems. For example, children below the age of 12 usually see no need to carry out measurements (Strang, 1990). Also, because young children have little responsibility for decisions affecting their lives, they may not see the need for practical reasoning. However, the more that students have done or seen, the more likely it is that they can solve real-world problems. With age and experience, the possibility increases that a new situation is analogous to a previous one and that the human, technical, and theoretical factors involved in a new situation have already been encountered.

All these factors suggest that practical reasoning should become a major factor in science assessment at grades 8 and 12 rather than at grade 4. As students become eager to take control of their lives,

wish to try out their understanding of the world, and progress in development, practical situations related to their everyday life, school, and home provide excellent exemplars to demonstrate science-related practical reasoning. Thus, students might be asked to discuss problems such as noise abatement in the lunchroom, to design a simple apparatus such as a flashlight or a burglar alarm, or to plan a school garden.

By grade 12, students should be able to discuss larger science- and technology-linked problems not directly related to their immediate experiences. Examples of these might be waste disposal, energy uses, air quality, water pollution, noise abatement, and the tradeoffs between the benefits and adverse consequences of various technologies.

The Nature of Science

Knowledge of the nature of science is central to the understanding of scientific enterprise. Yet often this category is relegated to a discussion (or even rote memorization) of some version of the scientific method. There is total agreement that this topic should play a prominent role in the NAEP Science Assessment.

A controversy existed within the project committees concerning whether this category is sufficient unto itself, or whether the Framework should include a separate section that deals with the nature of technology. The project committees were split on this issue. However, all acknowledged that technology is integral to the nature of science and ought to be included in the assessment, provided that it clearly does not exist as a separate subcategory within the assessment. Technology, then, will be measured as it relates to science and the scientific enterprise.

Science

The following concepts are appropriate for assessment at the given levels:

- By grade 4, students should understand that science is trying to find out what happens in the natural world. Through careful observation of objects and events, they should be able to develop explanations for their observations. Students should

also understand that different people may notice different things, and therefore may explain things differently.

- By grade 8, students should have acquired an understanding of the control of variables and the difference between showing that conditions occur together and that they are causally related. Students should grasp what makes for a good scientific explanation by using all the relevant observations; suggesting what new observations to make; and explaining, as simply as possible, a wide variety of observations.
- By grade 12, students should demonstrate their knowledge and understanding of the following:
 - Scientific conclusions are based on logic and evidence, but no fixed series of steps make up a scientific method. Scientists try to invent explanations that are logical and that fit observations, but these are subject to change based on new evidence.
 - Explanations are most believable when they also account for observations that were not made by the explainer.
 - Scientists (like anyone else) tend to look for, pay attention to, and cite evidence that supports what they already believe.
 - New conclusions require that scientists consider all possible objections to their own findings.
 - Scientific organizations try to avoid bias and maintain quality by having scientists' reports of observations and explanations judged by other scientists before they are published.
 - Few human problems can be solved with scientific knowledge alone. Most are too complicated and involve values, about which science has little to say.

Technology

Students are surrounded by and interact with the manmade world as much as with the natural world. Therefore, they must develop an understanding of what shapes the design and development of the technologies that are a part of that manmade world and their daily lives. Rather than being a content area, technology is embedded

within this section because of its close association with science. The following concepts are appropriate for assessment at the given levels:

- By grade 4, students should understand that any design requires making tradeoffs and that advantages and disadvantages must be weighed.
- By grade 8, students should understand that scientific knowledge is often useful in design and that much scientific investigation is done for the purpose of improving design. They should understand that there are often several ways to solve a design problem and that possible solutions should be evaluated on, and justified by, their advantages and disadvantages.
- By grade 12, students should know that scientific knowledge may help to predict consequences of one design or another, but that design decisions often depend on human values that are outside of science. They should also be able to apply scientific concepts to scientific, societal, and/or technical concerns. They should understand that every design has limits and may fail if it is required to work outside of these limits.

Themes

Themes are the “big ideas” of science that transcend the various scientific disciplines and enable students to consider problems with global implications. To understand the conceptual basis for the themes that have been selected, students must begin to develop an understanding of major ideas by the fourth grade. They should continue to develop their understanding through the 8th grade, and by the 12th grade, they should have the ability to integrate their knowledge and understanding.

The review of current state frameworks conducted in the course of developing the new *NAEP Science Assessment Framework* revealed that many are based in part on crosscutting themes in science. Several national organizations, including the American Association for the Advancement of Science, have issued reports that advocate the importance of common themes. The number of themes defined in these reports and state frameworks varies somewhat, but there is considerable agreement on which common elements or big ideas of

science should be understood by students as they complete their high school education. The decision by the NAEP Science Assessment committees to include themes underscores their emerging importance, as well as the necessity of integrating themes (through programmatic threads) into grades K–12. Three of the themes are common to all of the documents: **Models**, **Systems**, and **Patterns of Change**. These three themes were included in the 1996 NAEP Science Assessment because they constitute major, interdisciplinary organizing principles of science. Further, they do not conflict or compete with the factual content of the various fields, but rather augment and help organize that information into a coherent intellectual framework.

Models of objects and events in nature can be used to understand complex or abstract phenomena. Models may be first attempts to identify the relevant variables to build evermore useful representations, or they may be highly refined for predictions about the actual phenomenon. Students need to understand the limitations and simplifying assumptions that underlie the many models used in the natural sciences. A model is likely to fit data well only within a limited range of circumstances and to be misleading outside of that range.

Systems are complete, predictable cycles, structures, or processes occurring in natural phenomena, but students should understand that the idea of a system is an artificial construction created by people for certain purposes, for example, to gain a better understanding of the natural world or to design an effective technology. The construct of a system entails identifying and defining its boundaries, identifying its component parts and the interrelations and interconnections among those parts, and identifying the inputs and outputs of the system.

Regardless of the topic around which the **Patterns of Change** theme is developed, students should be able to recognize patterns of similarity and difference, to perceive how these patterns change over time, to remember common types of patterns, and to transfer their understanding of a familiar pattern of change to a new and unfamiliar situation. Appendix C contains more detail on these three themes and the developmentally appropriate expectations for students at grades 4, 8, and 12.

Chapter Three

Desired Attributes of the Assessment

Assessment Issues

NAEP functions to provide information about (1) the knowledge and scientific understanding of the nation's youth and (2) the features of science education programs that relate to high levels of student achievement. Consequently, the design plan for the NAEP Science Assessment includes strategies for measurement in both of these areas. Research has produced practical and theoretical knowledge that is important to the assessment design process.

The Nature of Testing and the Nature of Knowledge and Learning

Except for early assessments, NAEP Science Assessments have consisted primarily of short-answer, paper-and-pencil questions that were mostly multiple choice. The previous assessments tended to focus on discrete components of science, each of which was usually learned independently of the others. Hence, tests were made up of independent items, each comparable to the others and weighted the same.

This Framework is based on a different view. It holds that scientific knowledge should be organized to provide a structure that connects and creates meaning for factual information, and that this organization is influenced by the context in which the knowledge is presented. Learning is perceived as an activity in which the learner interacts with the physical world, with peers and teachers, and with the scientific community. In this view, science proficiency depends on the ability to know facts and integrate them into larger constructs and to use the tools, procedures, and reasoning processes of science for an increased understanding of the natural world.

Rather than concentrate on facts in isolation, assessments will reflect the organization and structure of scientific knowledge and

the nature of learning in science. Because scientific knowledge is expanding faster than can be accommodated by any curriculum, teachers and assessment designers must make choices about what topics, concepts, and factual information to address. Consequently, the *NAEP Science Assessment Framework* concentrates on assessing students' ability to relate basic facts and concepts as well as their ability to discuss and evaluate approaches to science-related problems. The Framework also stresses that an assessment of what students know and can do must employ techniques reflective of the nature of science.

Inferring Understanding From Student Responses

Test items present students with tasks that may require a range of responses, from recall of factual information to performance of scientific investigations to complex reasoning. Based on analysis of the responses, experts in the field make inferences about students' understanding; that is, their knowledge and reasoning skills that are assumed to have produced the responses. The validity of these inferences is a central issue in assessment.

Responses to exercises designed to assess thinking or mental processing are generally more difficult to interpret than responses to items designed to assess factual knowledge. In practice, basing an assessment of the quality of mental processing on short responses is problematic. Often the decision about the mental processes applied is based only on the accuracy of the factual knowledge in the answer. When the answer is factually correct, the observer infers that the mental processes represent scientific reasoning (for example, those mental processes that are necessary to understand information in the test question stem, retrieving scientific knowledge from memory, reasoning from the stem to the correct response, or eliminating incorrect responses). However, this is not necessarily a valid inference. An incorrect answer may be the result of misinformation, not flawed reasoning; a correct answer is not necessarily the product of sound reasoning. Illogical thinking or using a wrong assumption or incorrect information can produce a seemingly correct answer. Furthermore, a correct answer may not require any higher order thinking at all; it simply may have been recalled. Only if the student response includes some indication of how the answer

was obtained will those who score the assessment have information from which to choose alternative interpretations.

Science entails observing objects and phenomena in the natural world and collecting and interpreting information about them. For this reason, pencil-and-paper tests have been criticized as too limited to assess what students know and can do in science. Over the past several years, groups have developed assessment exercises that engage students in performance tasks using scientific equipment and materials. Student responses are recorded by an observer or by the students themselves in written form. However, these exercises have limitations as well.

Indeed, in the course of developing the new Framework, numerous examples of performance exercises were examined with respect to science concepts and reasoning, but many did not stand up to rigorous analysis. These exercises might have led science teachers and educators to draw faulty inferences.

The following is typical of a performance exercise that is counterproductive: an exercise requires a student to identify several unknown substances by means of indicators, but the student is given minutely detailed directions for performing each step in the identification process. Unfortunately, even if the answers are correct, the only inference to be drawn is that the student can follow written instructions. A test item formulated with such detailed, step-by-step directions reduces to zero the science understanding needed for problemsolving.

Many of the so-called performance assessment tasks that were reviewed turned out to be standard laboratory exercises that, again, were reduced to “follow-the-instructions” problems. No inferences about a student’s knowledge of science or its tools and procedures can be drawn from such exercises. To test higher order thinking skills—a major goal of performance assessments—problems need to be placed in new contexts, applied to new situations, or have new elements introduced that preclude students’ recalling what they have done before (Resnick, 1987).

Class, culture, ethnicity, gender, language ability, and access to quality instruction may influence the manner in which science is learned and the manner in which science attitudes and knowledge

are produced. Hence, individuals need opportunities to demonstrate knowledge or competencies in different contexts.

Assessment techniques that show group differences are more likely to reveal problems with student learning and classroom instruction than with assessment per se. However, this does not eliminate the assessment community's responsibility to the broader society. In addressing the issues of pluralism, multiple assessment methods may be more effective than any one method, no matter how well it is developed.

Developments in Assessment

Currently, some state pilot testing efforts are providing new ideas about assessment exercise and task formats. These pilot activities are also aimed at assessing new types of information relevant to new curriculum guides emerging in the states.

The experimental assessment work (much of it pioneered in the United Kingdom) uses new approaches, including performance tasks, open-ended tasks, and new types of multiple-choice questions that are thematic or conceptual or that ask students to explain their choices in short written answers. Moreover, state assessments are experimenting with collecting information on other meaningful outcomes: sustained student work, proficiency in designing and conducting experiments, and fluency of ideas critical to the natural sciences and related fields. They are also experimenting with innovative reporting approaches. The performance measures are created through consensual judgment about what students should know and be able to do at given grade levels or developmental stages.

Criteria for Assessing Learning and Achievement in Science

The Framework for the NAEP Science Assessments has been developed according to the following broad guidelines:

- By focusing on meaningful knowledge and skills, NAEP should be a force in fostering progress as well as measuring it, enabling more students to learn more science.

- A range of assessment means must be used, including some in which the student is required to create and construct, not just to recognize and respond.
- Assessment exercises should challenge students at developmentally appropriate levels to:
 - explain commonplace natural phenomena using appropriate scientific theory, principles, and concepts;
 - plan the investigation of a novel scientific problem;
 - demonstrate understanding of the basic knowledge structures of science by using the appropriate techniques to connect concepts to one another and to the theory within which they are embedded;
 - demonstrate some understanding of pervasive crosscutting themes in science;
 - solve practical problems by using the appropriate theories, principles, concepts, and techniques of science.
- Assessments must be sensitive to the need and ability of students to function in a variety of contexts.
- Assessment exercises should use a variety of formats to allow students to display the wide range of competencies expected as outcomes of science education.
- Assessment tasks that are larger than single items should be analyzed in multiple ways, not restricted to providing information for single scales.
- Test results should not be normalized; that is, students' outcomes should not be manipulated to fit a normal distribution curve.
- Assessments must have enough questions about enough topics to explore students' knowledge in depth.

Achievement Levels in Science

Achievement levels describe how well students perform on the content and thinking levels required by the assessment. They evaluate

the quality of the outcomes of students' education in science at grades 4, 8, and 12 as measured by NAEP.

Three achievement levels—**Basic**, **Proficient**, and **Advanced**—have been defined for each grade level assessed by NAGB:

- **Basic** denotes partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at each grade.
- **Proficient** represents solid academic performance for each grade assessed. Students reaching this level have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter, including subject matter knowledge, application of such knowledge to real-world situations, and analytical skills appropriate to the subject matter.
- **Advanced** represents superior performance.

Appendix A lists the final achievement level descriptions for students participating in the 2005 NAEP Science Assessment in grades 4, 8, and 12. The assessment was constructed to measure and report student performance according to the three levels of achievement, as required by NAEP policy.

Chapter Four

Characteristics of Assessment Exercises

Types of Exercises

Innovative assessments in the United States and other countries use three major item types: performance exercises, open-ended paper-and-pencil exercises, and multiple-choice items probing understanding of conceptual and reasoning skills. A fourth type often added to time-limited tests is the collection and evaluation of portfolios of student work done in the course of instruction. There is also an emerging assessment technique that involves two-phase testing. The following sections discuss these exercise types and provide some guidelines for the amount of assessment time to be devoted to each. Further details are provided in the **Specifications** and **Reporting Formats and Issues** documents.

Performance Exercises

In performance exercises, students actually manipulate selected physical objects and try to solve a scientific problem about the objects before them. Although various types of performance tests have been piloted extensively, their standardization and administration differ widely. One method for ensuring uniform administration is the use of standardized performance test kits, with each test proctored and scored by trained personnel. Depending on the objectives established for the assessment, student answer sheets can also be used to provide responses to be scored. To adequately measure the goals outlined for the assessment, performance items generally should make up at least 30 percent of the assessment, as measured by student response time. An extra period of time (20 or 30 minutes) may be necessary for students who have been assigned to perform complex tasks.

The shortcomings of many performance tasks currently being used were discussed in the preceding chapter. How can a performance

exercise be designed so that it meets criteria for assessing science concepts and their relations? The exercise should be meaningful and not a context-free laboratory problem. Personal context, for example, is seen in the following problem: “You have just been given this new drink. It is claimed to be sugar- and calorie-free. What can you find out about the accuracy of these claims with these indicators?” Students can be given the names and procedures for the safe use of each indicator, but no information about their scientific use. The students would have to know, for example, that iodine solution is a test for starch and what the negative and positive reactions are. The students would also have to know that if fats, proteins, or carbohydrates are present, they will yield calories. They would have to plan how to conduct an investigation of the unknown in such a way as not to waste the materials, to be able to repeat the investigation when they believe their procedure is faulty, and to have enough solution left to replicate the investigation for verification. They would also have to design their data collecting procedures. Finally, they would need to interpret and justify their findings. The questions asked of students as part of a performance exercise need to enable the students to display understanding and to justify interpretations. Such questions as “What substance is in the unknown?” or “How far did the dye diffuse?” do not elicit responses that demonstrate understanding.

If students need additional information to carry out the task, they could be asked before they begin if they would like any other materials and why. If they request known substances for each indicator to refresh their memories, those could be provided. Such a request demonstrates one aspect of understanding the processes of science—knowing what one does not know and how to acquire more information. Scoring for such a problem could give points for science knowledge, for laboratory procedures, and (if using an observer) for a systematic approach to problemsolving in contrast to a trial-and-error or random approach.

Open-Ended Paper-and-Pencil Items

Open-ended items that require written responses provide particularly useful insights to students’ levels of conceptual understanding. They can also be used to assess students’ abilities to communicate

in the sciences. In addition, open-ended items, if carefully crafted, can be used to reflect students' abilities to generate rather than recognize information related to scientific concepts and their interconnections. Open-ended items should make up at least 50 percent of the assessment, as measured by student response time. About one-third of the open-ended questions should consist of extended response items.

Multiple-Choice Items

The use of multiple-choice items should be considered carefully because they are often overused to test low-level recall. Balanced with other item types, however, multiple-choice items are worthwhile for measuring knowledge of important facts and concepts as well as deductive reasoning skills. Multiple-choice items should make up no more than 50 percent of the assessment, as measured by student response time.

Additional Considerations

Performance exercises, open-ended paper-and-pencil items, and multiple-choice items could produce responses less subject to faulty interpretation if students are given an opportunity to explain their responses, their reasoning processes, or their approach to a problem. Hence, an assessment should afford this opportunity. However, care must be taken, particularly with fourth graders, that language ability is not confounded with science ability. This caution also applies to the more complex multiple-choice items needed to probe conceptual understanding.

The new NAEP Science Assessment, to be consonant with current reform efforts in science education, needs to probe students' depth of knowledge and scientific understanding. For this reason, it is recommended that for at least half the students sampled, the assessment should include an indepth examination involving a single problem or topic. The format could be a set of linked performance tasks, open-ended paper-and-pencil exercises, multiple-choice items, or a combination thereof. Pending modification after pilot testing, the suggested time to be spent by students on this type of exercise is 10 minutes for grade 4; 20 minutes for grade 8; and 30 minutes for grade 12.

Pilot Testing

Multiple approaches need to be tried in the pilot testing of the assessment exercises. It would be especially useful to test the same concept(s) and performance skill(s) in different ways to see which method provides the richest, most reliable, and valid information. For example, if an open-ended question can easily be turned into a multiple-choice question without losing its intent and validity, it should be multiple choice. Open-ended questions should tap skills and knowledge that are truly open, probing for the integrated application of relevant knowledge, not for the recall of a series of unconnected facts. The following additional issues need to be investigated during pilot testing:

- **Scoring rubrics.** These should be developed a priori for open-ended questions and performance tasks, but modified on the basis of pilot test results. Weights should be assigned within scoring rubrics of complex items to reflect the quality of the responses. Distinct rubrics must be developed to score for multiple aspects or for items contributing to more than one component of the assessment.
- **Scaffolding.** To what extent should scaffolding, or providing additional information, be integrated into open-ended questions? This could be done either in the form of hints to be given only after students get stuck (for example, in a computer-administered or individually timed exercise) or by informing the students how their answers will be scored. How much should students be able to learn during the test, as contrasted to what they learned in the classroom? Unfortunately, many science items in current tests require no science knowledge at all because everything is provided in the question stem or in the instructions. On paper-and-pencil tests, these questions usually turn out to measure general reasoning or scale and graph reading.
- **Student self-evaluation.** Should students (perhaps in grades 8 and 12 only) be able to evaluate their own test performance? Students might be given credit for what they know they do not know, particularly if they can articulate what steps they might take to find out the answer. Alternatively, they might

be asked to indicate how well they think they did on some items (metacognitive questions).

Procedures for the Development of the Assessment

The planning committee responsible for developing the *NAEP Science Assessment Framework* was concerned that the nature and specifics of the Framework be faithfully mirrored in the actual instrument of the assessment. The committee therefore recommended that a detailed review of individual items and of each proposed assessment as a whole be conducted at the conclusion of the following four stages:

- item development and selection for pilot testing;
- analysis of data from the pilot test and review of the results;
- a priori development of the scales at each grade level, together with review of the items used for behavioral anchoring;
- selection of items and formulation of the assessment as a whole for the NAEP test administration.

The review committee(s) should be broadly constituted to include scientists; teachers; science educators and researchers; cognitive psychologists; psychometricians; and informed members of the lay community, including representatives from business, higher education, education governing bodies, and parent groups. The stages of review should be carried out by the same committee. If this is not feasible, the different committees need to have overlapping membership. Members of the planning committee should be included throughout the entire review process.

Special Study in Science

Special studies are often recommended as a part of the National Assessment process because new or emerging techniques offer promise and, if they yield useful information, will make a positive contribution to the assessment process. Special studies are a part of the main NAEP process and are usually reported along with the results from the national sample.

In 1996, a special study was carried out to assess students with advanced training in science. Past NAEP Science Assessments

have been criticized for having too low a ceiling; that is, for not including an adequate number of items at advanced levels of difficulty. As a result, NAEP assessments are assumed not to have reflected what the best prepared students know or can do in science. An international assessment conducted in 1995 included students who are concentrating on science in high school. It would be highly desirable to have similar results from NAEP.

Suggestions on how to include the most competent students in this special study included sampling students who are taking advanced courses in science. Assessments must contain a sufficient number of challenging exercises to measure what these “best” students know and are able to do. It was recommended, therefore, that a special study be conducted in 1996 with a subsample of the national NAEP sample to determine whether this is a useful approach to establish the achievement and performance of the best science students. The results of this special study, *Assessing the Best: NAEP’s 1996 Assessment of Twelfth-Graders Taking Advanced Science Courses* can be found on the NAEP website at www.nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard.

Selected Readings

Aldridge, B.G. (1989). *Essential Changes in Secondary School Science: Scope, Sequence and Coordination*. Washington, DC: National Science Teachers Association.

American Association for the Advancement of Science (1989). *Science for All Americans: A Project 2061 Report on Literacy Goals in Science, Mathematics, and Technology*. Washington, DC: American Association for the Advancement of Science.

American Geological Institute (1991). *Earth Science Education for the 21st Century: A Planning Guide*. Alexandria, VA: American Geological Institute.

Bybee, R.W., C.E. Buchwald, S. Crissman, D.R. Heil, P.J. Kuerbis, C. Matsumoto, and J.D. McInerney (1989). *Science and Technology Education for the Elementary Years: Frameworks for Curriculum and Instruction*. Washington, DC: National Center for Improving Science Education.

California Department of Education (1990). *Science Framework for California Public Schools: Kindergarten Through Grade Twelve*. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Education.

Davis, F. (1990). "Assessing Science Education: A Case for Multiple Perspectives." In G.E. Hein, ed., *The Assessment of Hands-On Elementary Science Programs*. Grand Forks, ND: North Dakota Study Group.

Frank, P. (1957). *Philosophy of Science, the Link Between Science and Philosophy*. A Spectrum Book. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

National Center for Improving Science Education (1990). *Science and Technology Education for the Middle Years: Frameworks for Curriculum and Instruction*. Washington, DC: The National Center for Improving Science Education.

National Center for Improving Science Education (1991). *The High Stakes of High School Science*. Washington, DC: The National Center for Improving Science Education.

- National Research Council (1990). *Fulfilling the Promise: Biology Education in the Nation's Schools*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- National Science Board Commission on Precollege Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology (1983). *Educating Americans for the 21st Century*. Washington, DC: National Science Foundation.
- National Science Teachers Association, 1989.
- Resnick, L. (1987). *Education and Learning to Think*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Shymansky, J.A., W.C. Kyle, and J.M. Alpert (1983). "The Effects of New Science Curricula on Student Performance." *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 20(5): 387–404.
- Stiggins, R.J. (1987). "Design and Development of Performance Assessment." *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practices*, Fall: 33–42.
- Strang, J. (1990). *Measurement in School Science*. London, United Kingdom: Assessment Performance Unit, School Examination and Assessment Council, Central Office of Information.

Appendix A

NAEP Science Achievement Level Descriptions

NAEP Science Achievement Level Descriptions

Grade 4

Basic

Students performing at the Basic level demonstrate some of the knowledge and reasoning required for understanding the Earth, physical, and life sciences at a level appropriate to grade 4. For example, they can carry out simple investigations and read uncomplicated graphs and diagrams. Students at this level also show a beginning understanding of classification, simple relationships, and energy.

Fourth-grade students performing at the Basic level are able to follow simple procedures, manipulate simple materials, make observations, and record data. They are able to read simple graphs and diagrams and draw reasonable but limited conclusions based on data provided to them. These students can recognize appropriate experimental designs, although they are unable to justify their decisions.

When presented with diagrams, students at this level can identify seasons; distinguish between day and night; and place the position of the Earth, Sun, and planets. They are able to recognize major energy sources and simple energy changes. In addition, they show an understanding of the relationship between sound and vibrations. These students are able to identify organisms by physical characteristics and group organisms with similar physical features. They can also describe simple relationships among structure, function, habitat, life cycles, and different organisms.

Proficient

Students performing at the Proficient level demonstrate the knowledge and reasoning required for understanding the Earth, physical, and life sciences at a level appropriate to grade 4. For example, they understand concepts relating to the Earth's features, physical properties, structure, and function. In addition, students can formulate solutions to familiar problems as well as show a beginning awareness of issues associated with technology.

Fourth-grade students performing at the Proficient level are able to provide an explanation of day and night when given a diagram.

They can recognize major features of the Earth's surface and the impact of natural forces. They are also able to recognize water in its various forms in the water cycle and can suggest ways to conserve it. These students recognize that various materials possess different properties that make them useful. Students at this level are able to explain how structure and function help living things survive. They have a beginning awareness of the benefits and challenges associated with technology and recognize some human effects on the environment. They can also make straightforward predictions and justify their position.

Advanced

Students performing at the Advanced level demonstrate a solid understanding of the Earth, physical, and life sciences as well as the ability to apply their understanding to practical situations at a level appropriate to grade 4. For example, they can perform and critique simple investigations, make connections from one or more of the sciences to predict or conclude, and apply fundamental concepts to practical applications.

Fourth-grade students performing at the Advanced level are able to combine information, data, and knowledge from one or more of the sciences to reach a conclusion or to make a valid prediction. They can also recognize, design, and explain simple experimental procedures.

Students at this level recognize nonrenewable sources of energy. They also recognize that light and sound travel at different speeds. These students understand some principles of ecology and are able to compare and contrast life cycles of various common organisms. In addition, they have a developmental awareness of the benefits and challenges associated with technology.

Grade 8

Basic

Students performing at the Basic level demonstrate some of the knowledge and reasoning required for understanding the Earth, physical, and life sciences at a level appropriate to grade 8. For example, they can carry out investigations and obtain information from graphs, diagrams, and tables. In addition, they demonstrate some understanding of concepts relating to the solar system and relative motion. Students at this level also have a beginning understanding of cause-and-effect relationships.

Eighth-grade students performing at the Basic level are able to observe, measure, collect, record, and compute data from investigations. They can read simple graphs and tables and are able to make simple data comparisons. These students are able to follow directions and use basic science equipment to perform simple experiments. In addition, they have an emerging ability to design experiments.

Students at this level have some awareness of causal relationships. They recognize the position of planets and their movement around the Sun and know basic weather-related phenomena. These students can explain changes in position and motion such as the movement of a truck in relation to that of a car. They also have an emerging understanding of the interrelationships among plants, animals, and the environment.

Proficient

Students performing at the Proficient level demonstrate much of the knowledge and many of the reasoning abilities essential for understanding the Earth, physical, and life sciences at a level appropriate to grade 8. For example, students can interpret graphic information, design simple investigations, and explain such scientific concepts as energy transfer. Students at this level also show an awareness of environmental issues, especially those addressing energy and pollution.

Eighth-grade students performing at the Proficient level are able to create, interpret, and make predictions from charts, diagrams,

and graphs based on information provided to them or from their own investigations. They have the ability to design an experiment and have an emerging understanding of variables and controls. These students are able to read and interpret geographic and topographic maps. In addition, they have an emerging ability to use and understand models, can partially formulate explanations of their understanding of scientific phenomena, and can design plans to solve problems.

Students at this level can begin to identify forms of energy and describe the role of energy transformations in living and nonliving systems. They have knowledge of organization, gravity, and motion within the solar system and can identify some factors that shape the surface of the Earth. These students have some understanding of properties of materials and have an emerging understanding of the particulate nature of matter, especially the effect of temperature on states of matter. They also know that light and sound travel at different speeds and can apply their knowledge of force, speed, and motion. These students demonstrate a developmental understanding of the flow of energy from the Sun through living systems, especially plants. They know that organisms reproduce and that characteristics are inherited from previous generations. These students also understand that organisms are made up of cells and that cells have subcomponents with different functions. In addition, they are able to develop their own classification system based on physical characteristics. These students can list some effects of air and water pollution as well as demonstrate knowledge of the advantages and disadvantages of different energy sources in terms of how they affect the environment and the economy.

Advanced

Students performing at the Advanced level demonstrate a solid understanding of the Earth, physical, and life sciences as well as the abilities required to apply their understanding in practical situations at a level appropriate to grade 8. For example, students can perform and critique the design of investigations, relate scientific concepts to each other, explain their reasoning, and discuss the impact of human activities on the environment.

Eighth-grade students performing at the Advanced level are able to provide an explanation for scientific results. They have a modest understanding of scale and are able to design a controlled experiment. These students have an understanding of models as representations of natural systems and can describe energy transfer in living and nonliving systems.

Students at this level are able to understand that present physical clues, including fossils and geological formations, are indications that the Earth has not always been the same and that the present is a key to understanding the past. They have a solid knowledge of forces and motions within the solar system and an emerging understanding of atmospheric pressure. These students can recognize a wide range of physical and chemical properties of matter and some of their interactions and understand some of the properties of light and sound. Also, they can infer relationships between structure and function. These students know the differences between plant and animal cells and can apply their knowledge of food as a source of energy to a practical situation. In addition, they are able to explain the impact of human activities on the environment and the economy.

Grade 12

Basic

Students performing at the Basic level demonstrate some knowledge and certain reasoning abilities required for understanding the Earth, physical, and life sciences at a level appropriate to grade 12. In addition, they demonstrate knowledge of the themes of science (models, systems, and patterns of change) required for understanding the most basic relationships among the Earth, physical, and life sciences. They are able to conduct investigations, critique the design of investigations, and demonstrate a rudimentary understanding of scientific principles.

Twelfth-grade students performing at the Basic level are able to select and use appropriate simple laboratory equipment and write down simple procedures that others can follow. They also have a developmental ability to design complex experiments. These students are able to make classifications based on definitions such as physical properties and characteristics.

Students at this level demonstrate a rudimentary understanding of basic models and can identify some parts of physical and biological systems. They are also able to identify some patterns in nature and rates of change over time. These students have the ability to identify basic scientific facts and terminology and have a rudimentary understanding of the scientific principles underlying such phenomena as volcanic activity, disease transmission, and energy transformation. In addition, they have some familiarity with the application of technology.

Proficient

Students performing at the Proficient level demonstrate the knowledge and reasoning abilities required for understanding the Earth, physical, and life sciences at a level appropriate to grade 12. In addition, they demonstrate knowledge of the themes of science (models, systems, and patterns of change) required for understanding how these themes illustrate essential relationships among the Earth, physical, and life sciences. They are able to analyze data and apply scientific principles to everyday situations.

Twelfth-grade students performing at the Proficient level are able to demonstrate a working ability to design and conduct scientific investigations. They are able to analyze data in various forms and utilize information to provide explanations and to draw reasonable conclusions.

Students at this level have a developmental understanding of both physical and conceptual models and are able to compare various models. They recognize some inputs and outputs, causes and effects, and interactions of a system. In addition, they can correlate structure to function for the parts of a system that they can identify. These students also recognize that rate of change depends on initial conditions and other factors. They are able to apply scientific concepts and principles to practical applications and solutions for problems in the real world and show a developmental understanding of technology, its uses, and its applications.

Advanced

Students performing at the Advanced level demonstrate the knowledge and reasoning abilities required for a solid understanding of

the Earth, physical, and life sciences at a level appropriate to grade 12. In addition, they demonstrate knowledge of the themes of science (models, systems, and patterns of change) required for integrating knowledge of scientific principles from the Earth, physical, and life sciences. Students can design investigations that answer questions about real-world situations and use their reasoning abilities to make predictions.

Twelfth-grade students performing at the Advanced level are able to design scientific investigations to solve complex, real-world situations. They can integrate, interpolate, and extrapolate information embedded in data to draw well-formulated explanations and conclusions. They are also able to use complex reasoning skills to apply scientific knowledge to make predictions based on conditions, variables, and interactions.

Students at this level recognize the inherent strengths and limitations of models and can revise models based on additional information. They are able to recognize cause-and-effect relationships within systems and can utilize this knowledge to make reasonable predictions of future events. These students are able to recognize that patterns can be constant, exponential, or irregular and can apply this recognition to make predictions. They can also design a technological solution for a given problem.

Appendix B

Fields of Science

Fields of Science

The details on the fields of science provided below indicate themes that can be explored within major topic areas as well as brief examples of developmentally appropriate sample questions that can form the basis for assessment exercises.

Earth Science

Earth science is the study of the planet Earth's composition, processes, environments, and history, focusing on the solid Earth (lithosphere) and its interactions with air (atmosphere) and water (hydrosphere). In Earth science, the content to be assessed centers on objects (including bodies and materials and their composition, features, and structures) as well as processes and events that are relatively accessible or visible. The content includes objects such as soil, minerals, rocks and rock outcrops, fossils, rain, clouds, the Sun, and the Moon; processes such as erosion, deposition, weather, and climate; and events such as volcanic eruptions, earthquakes, and storms.

The Solar System

Earth/Moon/Sun:

- observable evidence (*Patterns of Change*)
- description and models (*Systems, Models*)

Students should understand that the apparent daily motions of the Sun, Moon, planets, and stars are due to the rotation of the Earth about its axis every 24 hours. This rotation produces the Earth's night-and-day cycle. They should also understand that the Earth's 1-year revolution around the Sun changes how sunlight falls on one part or another of the Earth because of the tilt of the Earth's axis, thus producing seasonal variations in climate. They should know that the combination of the Earth's motion and the Moon's own orbit around the Earth, once in about 28 days, results in the phases of the Moon.

The Earth and the Forces That Shape It

With respect to the Earth, the NAEP Science Assessment should center on the following concepts:

Climate (*Patterns of Change*):

- water cycle and ground water (*Systems*)
- pollution capacity (*Systems, Models*)
- interior effects (*Models, Systems, Patterns of Change*)
 - crustal plates
 - rock cycles and strata

Exterior Effects (*Systems, Patterns of Change*):

- weathering
- plants, animals, and civilization

Students should understand that the Earth is a unique member of this solar system but could be replicated in other galaxies in the universe; that it is at least 4.5 billion years old and is a complex planet with several interacting systems; and that these systems have evolved through time and changes in them occur over periods that range from microseconds to millions of years and vary from subatomic to astronomical. Students should also understand that the Earth's systems contain a variety of renewable and nonrenewable resources that sustain life (American Geological Institute, 1991).

Climate: Atmosphere and Hydrosphere

The atmosphere is the gaseous envelope that surrounds the Earth. It is continuously in motion, circulating in complex but regular patterns and driven by direct and stored solar energy. There are strong interactions between the atmosphere and the hydrosphere that determine weather and climate, profoundly influencing human and all other life. Desired learning goals follow.

Grade 4:

- Students are able to communicate what is special about air. What do their senses tell them about the air? What needs air?
- Students are able to offer simple explanations for how the weather changes. How do people know when weather changes? How can changing weather conditions be measured?

Grade 8:

- Students know about basic weather-related phenomena (for example, tornadoes, hurricanes, drought, and acid precipitation).
- Students know that relatively small changes in global temperatures can have dramatic effects on the Earth.
- Students can access climatological information bases via computers and use other means to extract useful information.
- Students can read a weather chart and extract basic information.
- Students understand and can use simple instruments, such as barometers, that measure basic phenomena related to weather. Students will make a barometer and/or know how it works.

Grade 12:

- Generally, 12th-grade students are able to connect relationships between atmospheric phenomena and long-term effects.
- They understand that much of what determines the details of the weather depends on phenomena such as sea breezes, thunderstorms, tornadoes, and wind shear.
- They understand how scientists can monitor atmospheric events over time (for example, how products of pollution and carbon dioxide affect the poles).
- Students are able to discuss and relate causes and possible solutions to their consequences and tradeoffs.

Water Cycle and Ground Water

Desired learning goals follow.

Grade 4:

- Students understand that water exists not only on the surface of the Earth, but beneath it as well.
- Students understand that water is able to change the shape of the Earth. They can demonstrate their knowledge in two ways: by interpreting common local land features such as rivers, streams, mountain slopes, and delta deposits, or by designing simple models that illustrate their interpretations.
- Students understand that most of the Earth's surface is covered by water.
- Students know how waters of the Earth circulate. They can answer questions concerning where water is found; how water enters and leaves the atmosphere; and how water can be used more wisely.

Grade 8:

- Students know and demonstrate why water is special. What properties make water special? Where is water found: in the air, on Earth, and under the ground?
- Students know that the oceans provide habitats for a wide variety of plant life and animal life.
- Students can discuss some common problems that concern water, for example, its availability in their areas, shortages, relationship to supply and demand, and the effects of over-population on the availability and quality of potable water.
- Students are able to understand common interactive cycles such as the water cycle, nitrogen cycle, and carbon cycle.
- Students are familiar with some of the ways scientists explore the water environment.

Grade 12:

- At grade 12, students are able to explain how water (or the lack of it) relates to their immediate state, city, or area.
- They can discuss national issues related to water, such as acid precipitation and the effects of global warming.
- They are able to understand how common cycles affect the climate of the area where they live.
- Students know how to find answers to problems relating to how laws affect the use of water, as well as what hazards are associated with water and how they can be mitigated.

Interaction of Earth's Systems

Desired learning goals follow.

Grade 4:

- Students understand the ways in which the Earth relates to the Sun (for example, periodicity, seasons, and the night-and-day cycle).
- Students know about tides with respect to the Earth, Moon, and Sun.
- Students understand basic facts about volcanoes and glaciers.
- Students understand how rocks and minerals can be investigated, what they are made of, and how they form.

Grade 8:

- Students are able to understand how earthquake occurrences are recorded and note some positional regularities.
- Students are able to connect short-term changes in climate with volcanic activity.
- Students can discuss changes that have occurred in water levels, global temperature, and climate zones over the eons. They can advance some hypotheses as to why these changes have occurred.

- Students can identify how and where energy is obtained from the Earth; Earth materials that people use and where to find them; and the advantages and disadvantages of using the Earth's resources.

Grade 12:

- Students in grade 12 can connect “the zone of fire” with plate tectonics. They understand how sliding plates cause sudden Earth movements.
- They can discuss issues related to Earth systems (for example, why continents move, that they have not always been arranged the way they are now, and how human activity affects Earth systems).
- Students can access data from more than one data source to develop an environmental impact statement for their region/town/block.
- Students can discuss problems associated with agriculture and the lithosphere and relate these problems to changing atmospheric conditions.

Physical Science

The physical science component of the 2005 NAEP Science Assessment should probe the following major topics: matter and its transformations, energy and its transformations, and the motion of things. Each topic is detailed below, together with some examples of topics appropriate for assessment at the different grade levels.

Matter and Its Transformations

With respect to matter and its transformations, the 2005 NAEP Science Assessment should center on the following concepts:

“Many From Few:”

- diversity of materials (*Models*)
- classifications and types of materials (*Patterns of Change*)
- particulate nature of matter (*Models*)

Temperature and States of Matter:

- uses of materials
 - properties and uses
 - modifying properties by mixing, processing, and reacting (*Patterns of Change, Models*)
 - synthesis of materials with new properties (*Patterns of Change, Models*)

Resource Management:

- resource depletion and substitute materials (*Models*)
- disposal and recycling (*Systems*)

Materials encountered in the physical world differ greatly in shape, density, flexibility, texture, toughness, and color; in their ability to give off, absorb, bend, or reflect light; in the form they take at different temperatures; and in many other ways. Students need to understand that these varied substances are made up of relatively few kinds of basic materials (the atomic elements) combined in various ways. Only a few of these elements are abundant in the universe.

As they advance in science, students should come to understand that the basic premise of the modern theory of matter is that materials consist of a limited number of different kinds of atoms (elements) that join together in different configurations to form substances. Thus, when substances react to form new substances, the elements composing them combine in new ways and the properties of the substances created by the new combinations may be very different from those of the old. Almost every substance can exist in a variety of states (solid, liquid, and gaseous) depending on temperature and pressure.

Patterns within the structure of matter have been elegantly described in the periodic table, an outstanding example of a model that provides a systematic view of matter and its interactions. Changes in temperature and changes in state represent a category of physical change among substances within the realm of matter and energy.

An understanding of the particulate nature of matter can be assessed through the identification of types of materials; for example, “mystery powders” or the equivalent at the elementary level. The effects of temperature and heat energy on systems are exemplified in how refrigerators work, how and why ice cubes melt, and how metallic fuses work. Some practical areas of human activity (for example, cooking and much of the modern chemical industry) and processes (transport of materials in biological systems) are related both to the nature of matter and to the effects of external factors on its behavior. All these topics can provide rich assessment exercises.

The properties of matter determine the uses to which particular materials are put by manufacturers, engineers, and others involved in technology. Materials can be physically combined or processed to serve human needs. Modern materials technology has focused increasingly on the synthesis of materials with entirely new properties. Chemical changes are typically involved, and the properties of the new materials (such as plastics and ceramics) may be entirely different from those of their components.

The growth of technology has led to the use of some materials from the environment (for example, forests, ore deposits, and petroleum) much more rapidly than they can be replaced by natural processes. There is a continuing search for substitute materials, and, in many cases, they have been found or invented.

Disposal of used materials has become an increasing problem. Some used materials, such as food scraps and waste paper, can be returned safely to the environment; however, as the population grows, that task becomes more difficult and expensive. Other materials, such as aluminum scrap and glass, can be recycled, with resulting savings in energy and resources. Some materials, such as plastics, are not easily recycled, nor do they degrade quickly when returned to the environment. Other used materials—radioactive waste being the most dramatic but not the only example—are so hazardous for such a long time that it is not clear how best to dispose of them. This issue has become the subject of widespread debate and controversy. Solving these problems of disposal will require systematic efforts that include both social and technological innovations. Assessment questions dealing with the scientific and

technological issues involved in resource management are appropriate for grades 8 and 12.

Energy and Its Transformations

With respect to energy and its transformations, the 2005 NAEP Science Assessment should center on the following concepts:

Forms of Energy:

- energy transformation (qualitative) and audits (quantitative):
 - living systems
 - plants
 - animals
 - protista
 - natural physical systems
 - artificial (human-constructed) systems

Energy Sources and Use:

- quantity and kind
- distribution (*Patterns of Change*)
- energy conversions, heat gain/loss, and efficiency (*Patterns of Change, Models*)
- slowing depletion of energy sources, or conservation
- costs, implications, advantages, risks, and availability (*Patterns of Change*)

Students should understand that the concept of energy is central to understanding changes observed in natural and artificial systems. Observable changes occur when energy is added to a system, when energy is removed from a system, or when energy is transformed from one form to another. Energy appears in many forms and is categorized in different ways: light, heat, sound, kinetic and potential (electromagnetic, electrical, chemical, gravitational, and elastic), consumable and renewable, and available and unavailable. Although various forms of energy appear to be very different, each

can be measured in a way that makes it possible to keep track of how much of one form is transformed into another.

Students need to understand that energy is conserved. Within a system, whenever the quantity of energy in one place or form changes, the quantity of energy in another place or form increases or decreases by a similar quantity, and the total energy remains the same. Thus, if no energy leaks in or out across the boundaries of a system, the total energy of all the different forms in the system will not change, no matter what kinds of changes occur within the system.

Energy **transformations** usually result in producing some thermal energy (heat), which leaks away by radiation or conduction (for instance, from engines, electrical wires, hot water tanks, human bodies, or stereo systems) and becomes unavailable for further transformations. Thus, the total quantity of energy available for transformation usually decreases.

Students need to develop an understanding of the more general principle that natural processes occur in the direction of increasing the total disorder of the system and its surroundings. Although some subsystems do increase in orderliness (such as the freezing of water to form ice), at the same time, another part of the system or a connected system is becoming more disordered. The cells of a human organism, for example, are always busy increasing order by building complex molecules and body structure. This occurs at the cost of increasing disorder even more through processes such as breaking down the molecular structure and order of food that is eaten and warming up surroundings.

Energy **transformations** occur both naturally and in devices constructed by humans.

Naturally Occurring Transformations:

- solar energy into stored energy such as starches, fats, and proteins
- solar energy into heat

- potential energy into kinetic energy (the potential energy of roller coasters at the top of a hill converting to kinetic energy on the way to the bottom)

Transformations Occurring in Human Artifacts:

- electric mixer converts electrical energy into mechanical energy
- hair dryer converts electrical energy into heat energy
- automobile converts chemical energy into mechanical energy

In the operation of these devices, as in all phenomena, the useful energy output (that is, what is available for further change) is always less than the energy input, with the difference usually appearing as heat. One goal in the design of such devices is to make them as efficient as possible, that is, to maximize the useful output for a given input and to minimize wasted heat energy.

Radiant energy from the Sun is the ultimate source of most of the energy we use. It becomes available to us in several ways. The energy of sunlight is captured directly in plants, which then may be eaten; it also heats the air, land, and water, causing wind and rain. For much of history, burning wood was the most common source of intense energy for cooking, heating dwellings, and running machines. Most of the energy used today is derived from burning fossil fuels, which contain stored solar energy that plants collected over millions of years. A new source of energy is the fission of the nuclei of heavy elements, which releases an immense quantity of energy in relation to the mass of material used when compared with the burning of fossil fuels. In nuclear reactors, the energy generated is used mostly to heat water into steam, which drives electric generators.

Humans use energy for technological processes such as transporting, manufacturing, communicating, and getting raw materials, then working with them, and finally recycling them. Students need to appreciate that different sources of energy and ways of using them involve different costs, implications, and risks. Some resources will continue to be available indefinitely; others can be made self-renewing, but only at a limited rate. Fuels like coal, oil, natural gas, and uranium will become more difficult to obtain as the most readily available sources become depleted. New technology may make

it possible to use the remaining sources better; the ultimate limitation may be prohibitive cost rather than complete disappearance.

Students should know that the depletion of nonrenewable energy sources can be slowed by both technical and social means. Technical means include maximizing the advantages realized from a given input of energy through good design of the transformation device, insulation to restrict heat flow (insulating hot water tanks), or additional work with the heat as it dissipates. Social means include government, which may restrict low-priority uses of energy or establish requirements for efficiency (as in automobile engines) or insulation (as in house construction). Individuals may also make energy efficiency a consideration in their own choice and use of technology (for example, turning out lights and driving high-efficiency cars), either to conserve energy as a matter of principle or to reduce their personal long-term expenses. Students need to appreciate that there will always be tradeoffs. For example, better insulated houses restrict ventilation and thus may increase the indoor accumulation of pollutants.

The bases for these energy-related concepts should be laid in elementary school science. The following examples illustrate appropriate activities that can be used to formulate assessment exercises for students in grade 4:

- The student gives examples from his/her own experience of heat energy and light energy changing a system.
- The student identifies the source of energy for a familiar system (animal, plant, car, electric appliance) and describes some of the energy conversions that take place in each system.
- The student identifies the energy stored in a stretched rubber band and in a stretched or compressed spring as potential energy. The student explains that to store potential energy in a rubber band or in a spring, he/she must exert a force to stretch the rubber band, or to stretch or compress the spring.
- Given pictures of several situations, some of which depict a force being exerted or work being done and some of which do not, the student identifies those pictures in which a force is being exerted.

- The student explains, using the words fuel and energy in context, why a candle goes out when the wax is used up.
- The student writes a short essay on how his/her life would be different if all the coal and petroleum on Earth were used up.

The Motion of Things

With respect to this topic area, the *2005 NAEP Science Assessment Framework* should center on the following concepts:

Motion:

- force and changes in position and motion
- action and reaction

Waves:

- vibrations and waves as motion summaries
- general wave behavior
- electromagnetic radiation
- effects of wavelength
- interactions of electromagnetic radiation with matter

What students at the three grade levels should understand about these concepts is summarized below.

Reference Frames

Grade 4

Everything moves: bicycles, cars, and trains; the stars, planets, and moons; the Earth, its surface, and everything on its surface; and all living things and every part of all living things. Positions of things may be described, but positions may change. Monitoring changes in time yields information about speed.

Grade 8

No special point in space can serve as a reference for all other motion. All motion is relative to whatever point or object is chosen.

Forces and Motion

Grade 4

Changes in motion (that is, changes in speed or direction) are due to the effects of forces.

Grade 8

Any object maintains a constant speed and direction of motion (including being at rest) unless an unbalanced outside force acts on it. When an unbalanced force does act on an object, the object's motion changes. Depending on the direction of the force relative to the direction of motion, the object may change its speed (a falling apple), its direction of motion (the Moon in its curved orbit), or both (a fly ball). The greater the extent of the unbalanced force, the more rapidly a given object's speed or direction of motion changes. In most familiar situations, friction between surfaces brings forces into play that complicate the description of motion, although the basic principles still apply.

Grade 12

The more massive an object is, the less rapidly its speed or direction changes in response to any given force. Whenever A exerts a force on B, B exerts an equal force back on A, but in the direction opposite of the force exerted by A.

Vibrations and Waves

Grade 4

Some motions can be described most conveniently in summary descriptions of the pattern of motion, such as vibrations and waves. Vibrations may set up a traveling disturbance that spreads away from its source.

Grade 8

Vibration involves parts of a system moving back and forth in much the same place, so the motion can be summarized by how frequently it is repeated and by how far the parts of a system are displaced during the cycle. Vibration may move through a system as a wave. Wave behavior can be described in terms of speed,

wavelength, and frequency. Wavelength can help determine how a wave interacts with things: how well it is transmitted, absorbed, reflected, or diffracted.

Grade 12

Apparent change in wavelength can provide information about relative motion. The ways in which shock waves of different wavelengths travel through and reflect from layers of rock are important clues to the structure of the Earth's interior.

Light as Waves

Grade 4

White light is made up of all different colors of light. Things appear to have different colors because they reflect or scatter the light of some colors more than others.

Grade 8

Light behaves in many ways like waves: changing direction, bouncing off surfaces, spreading out, speeding up, slowing down, and changing wavelength.

Grade 12

The interaction of electromagnetic waves with matter varies greatly with wavelength. Thus, different but somewhat overlapping electromagnetic ranges have been given distinctive names: radio waves, microwaves, radiant heat or infrared radiation, visible light, ultraviolet light, x-rays, and gamma rays. Materials that allow one range of wavelengths to pass through them may completely absorb others. For example, some gases in the atmosphere, including carbon dioxide and water vapor, are transparent to much of the incoming sunlight but not to the infrared radiation emitted by the warmed surface of the Earth. Consequently, heat energy is trapped in the atmosphere. The temperature of the Earth rises until its total radiation output reaches a state of balance with the total radiation input from the Sun.

Life Science

The fundamental goal of life science is to attempt to understand and explain the nature of life. During the 20th century, the thrust of biological research changed its focus from descriptive natural history to experimental science, with most biological investigations conducted within the theory of evolution. The major concepts to be assessed in the life sciences (with evolution as the central, unifying theory) are listed below and developed further in the grade-level descriptions that follow.

Cells:

- information transfer
- energy transfer
- cellular communication

Organisms:

- reproduction, growth, and development
- life cycles
- functions and interactions of systems within organisms

Ecology:

- the interdependence of life: populations, communities, and ecosystems

Evolution:

- the diversity of life on Earth
- genetic variation within a species
- adaptation and natural selection
- changes in diversity over time

The three themes in the *NAEP Science Assessment Framework* (**Patterns of Change, Systems, and Models**) can be interwoven with these major concepts in the life sciences. Because evolution is the major pattern of change that occurs in the life sciences, the Patterns of Change theme can enhance understanding of all the life science

concepts listed above. Because the Systems theme can pertain to systems at the cellular, organismal, population, community, and ecosystem levels, this theme can also enhance understanding of most, if not all, life science concepts. Although Models are used in the life sciences, this theme receives less emphasis in life science than in the physical and Earth sciences, particularly at grades 4 and 8.

Students' understanding of life science concepts develops gradually as they proceed from grade 4 to grade 8 to grade 12. A description of the developmentally appropriate concepts that should be understood at each grade follows.

Grade 4

Organisms:

- As some animals grow, they look pretty much the same, they just increase in size. As other animals grow, they change from one form to another form that looks very different. They may change form several times before they become adults.
- Only adults can reproduce, but not all young animals survive long enough to become adults.
- Many activities go on inside the body that cannot be seen. When something happens in one part of the body, it affects what goes on in other parts of the body.

Ecology:

- Plants make their own food with sunlight, water, and air.
- Some animals eat plants; some of these animals are in turn eaten by other animals.
- Plants and animals get energy and building materials from their food.

Evolution:

- There are different kinds of plants and animals on Earth and in the sea.
- There are differences among individuals of the same kind of plant or animal.

- Children of the same parents are somewhat alike and somewhat different.

Grade 8

Organisms:

- Different systems of the body have different functions; however, the functioning of each system affects other systems.
- Interactions among systems are complex. These interactions maintain a fairly stable operation of the entire system that can resist disturbance from within or without.
- Interaction with other organisms (especially microorganisms) is important to maintain health or cause disease. Avoiding or killing harmful microorganisms can prevent disease.

Ecology:

- Plants use energy in sunlight to assemble food molecules from water and carbon dioxide.
- Plants and animals break down food molecules to obtain food energy.
- The source of energy and materials for all animals is plants.
- The pattern of “what eats what” in a community can be complex.

Evolution:

- The organisms that survive long enough to reproduce may be different in some ways from others in a population that do not survive long enough to reproduce; their offspring may inherit the anatomical, chemical, and/or behavioral characteristics that enabled the parents to survive.
- Gradually, over many generations, organisms with favorable characteristics may crowd out other organisms in the population that do not have these characteristics.
- Scientists believe that these processes, operating over very long periods of time, have resulted in the diversity of organisms that can be seen on Earth today.

- Adaptation may be to either the living or nonliving components of the environment.

Grade 12

Cells:

- Every cell contains a recipe for running the cell, coded in deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) molecules; the code mainly specifies how to put proteins together.
- During cell reproduction, the information in the DNA code is passed on to the next generation of cells.
- Proteins control most of what goes on within cells and within the body.
- There are interactions among the cells of an organism; molecules from one cell affect what goes on inside other cells.
- In plant cells, energy from sunlight is transformed into chemical energy during photosynthesis; in plant and animal cells, the chemical energy stored in food molecules is released during digestion and produces heat. Some of this released energy is used to build new molecules.

Organisms:

- Separate parts of the body can communicate with one another using electrical or chemical signals.
- Complex interacting systems include feedback that tends to produce cycles of activities within the body.
- In organisms that reproduce sexually, each parent passes on one-half of its DNA information to each of its offspring; therefore, half of the DNA in each cell of an organism came from one parent, and half from the other parent.

Ecology:

- Interactions between living and nonliving components affect how ecosystems function as a whole.
- A change in one component of an ecosystem affects other components of an ecosystem. These components in turn

react in a way that will restore the ecosystem to its original condition.

- Often, changes in one component of an ecosystem will have effects on the entire system that are difficult to predict.
- The size of a population and the rate of growth of a population are determined largely by the survival rate, reproductive rate, and death rate of the organisms in the population. Predictions about changes in the size or rate of growth of a population can be described using mathematical models.

Evolution:

- Recombination and mutation are the raw materials for new traits upon which natural selection acts.
- When the environment changes, different characteristics may become important for survival; that is, different adaptations are important for survival in different environments.
- Some descendants are so different from other descendants that they can no longer breed with each other.

Particularly at grade 12, students should be able to integrate information from different concepts within the life, physical, and Earth sciences. They should understand how key concepts apply at different levels of biological organization (molecular, cellular, organism, population, community, ecosystem, and biome) and how these concepts apply to current societal problems and are significant to the development of a variety of biotechnologies. They should be able to describe common misconceptions about natural phenomena and how these explanations are contrary to contemporary scientific explanations. Students should also understand the effects of technologies created by humans on the life cycles of organisms and their effects on communities of plants and animals, including humans. In addition, students should have developed some familiarity with the historical development of key concepts in the life sciences.

Appendix C

Examples of Themes by Grade Level

Examples of Themes by Grade Level

Systems

Students should understand that systems are artificial constructions created by people for certain purposes, such as gaining a better understanding of the natural world or designing an effective technology.

Understanding the construct of a system entails identifying and defining its boundaries, identifying its component parts, identifying the interrelations and interconnections among the component parts, and identifying the inputs and outputs of the system.

Systems should be embedded in life science learning at the three grade levels in the following ways:

Grade 4

Systems should be approached at the level of organisms. Students should have broad and rich acquaintance with structure/function relationships as a precursor to a more thorough knowledge of organ systems by grade 8. Understanding examples of food chains and interdependencies among organisms, say, within an aquarium, are precursors to understanding complex systems.

Grade 8

Students should understand that an organism is made up of organ systems that have structure/function adaptations and interconnections among other organ systems.

Interdependence of plants and animals in communities should be understood by grade 8: plants→consumers→decomposers. Students should be able to explain specific examples such as purple loosestrife replacing cattails and the effects of the introduction of rabbits into Australia.

Disease and health should be understood in systems terms. If a part of a system is put out of kilter by disease, for example, the whole system is affected. Taking drugs or smoking by an individual may have an impact on another system (organism); for example,

secondary smoke affects children of smoking parents or a fetus may be damaged by drugs. A measles vaccine taken or not taken by an individual affects the whole population of a region or even further, depending on migration patterns. If a specific animal or plant population becomes unhealthy (for example, fish poisoned, raccoons diseased, or species of grass infected by virus), the food chain and, therefore, the rest of the community are affected.

Grade 12

Ecosystems should be understood in their full complexity, including interrelationships of plants and animals with one another and with the physical components of a system. Students also need to recognize the effects of human activity on ecosystems and the limitations on human activity imposed by natural systems.

At this level, the cell should be understood both as a system in itself and as a component of a system.

Patterns of Change

Patterns of Change is a particularly valuable theme in the life sciences because a conceptual understanding of patterns of change can be developed in the context of several different levels in the hierarchy of biological organization. At the *cellular/organismal* level, the primary patterns of change are the growth and development that occur throughout the life of organisms. At the *population* level, the primary patterns of change are the changes in population growth over relatively short periods of time and the evolutionary changes that occur over longer periods of time. At the *community/ecosystem* level, the primary patterns of change are those that involve the nonliving and living components of ecosystems during the process of succession. Patterns of change may be linear, or they may be cyclical; for example, many of the patterns of change that occur within cells are related to homeostasis, in which a change leads to feedback reactions that result in a return to conditions that existed before the change. An understanding of cyclical patterns of change can also be developed in the context of ecosystems (nutrient cycles) and organisms (life cycles).

Regardless of the context in which an understanding of the Patterns of Change theme is developed, students should be able

to recognize patterns of similarity and difference, to recognize how these patterns change over time, and to transfer their understanding of a familiar pattern of change to a new, unfamiliar situation.

To understand the conceptual basis for the Patterns of Change theme, students must begin to develop an understanding of major ideas by the 4th grade, continue to develop their understanding through the 8th grade, and integrate their understanding with their knowledge in the 12th grade.

Grade 4

Understanding patterns of change at the *organismal* level:

- life cycles (including growth and metamorphosis)

Understanding patterns of change at the *population* level:

- concept of biotic potential, birth rates, and survival rates
- diversity of many types of plants and animals (an important preconcept for the understanding of evolution)
- variation within species (focus on humans, dogs, and cats)

Understanding patterns of change at the *community/ecosystem* level:

- food chains (also important for the systems theme)

A more general understanding involves the notion that everything changes, sometimes quickly and sometimes slowly, and that changes may be too rapid or too slow to observe directly.

Grade 8

Understanding patterns of change at the *organismal* level:

- growth, development, and reproduction of the human organism
- homeostasis of body systems

Understanding patterns of change at the *population* level:

- adaptation and natural selection, including learned and instinctive behavior

- variation and similarity among many different organisms, including humans

Understanding patterns of change at the *community/ecosystem* level:

- food webs (also part of the systems theme)
- environmental effects of human activity (also part of the systems theme)

More general understandings involve the following knowledge: changes in quantity usually have natural limits, but changes in form in which each form arises from a previous one can produce an unlimited variety; the rate of change may be as interesting as the change itself; and trends can be steady, accelerated, approach a limit gradually, or have a highest or lowest value.

Grade 12

Students should have acquired an understanding of the following concepts and developed the ability to integrate them into the Patterns of Change theme.

Understanding patterns of change at the *cellular/organismal* level:

- growth and development of cells, including an understanding of the importance of mitosis and meiosis

Understanding patterns of change at the *population* level:

- patterns of evolution, mechanisms for evolution, consequences of evolution (such as speciation and diversity through time), and evidence for evolution

Understanding patterns of change at the *community/ecosystem* level:

- nutrient cycles and the impact of human activity on those cycles
- succession, both natural and as a result of human disturbance

More general understandings entail knowing that trends, cycles, and randomness can occur at the same time; randomness may make it hard to see trends or cycles; randomness sometimes may look like

a trend or cycle; feedback in systems, often an influence that reacts against change, tends to produce cycles; changes that follow precise rules from one moment to the next may still be unpredictable in the long run; and the environment in which any one change occurs is usually changing also, and each affects the other.

Models

Models of objects and events in nature can be used as approaches to understanding. As such, they have limits and involve simplifying assumptions but also possess generalizability and, sometimes, predictive power. Models are composed of groups of interrelated concepts selected to represent the interrelations of objects or events in nature or in the laboratory. Models need not be deemed correct to be useful but may represent attempts to help identify relevant variables to build evermore useful representations.

Models may be conceptual and consist of word descriptions or drawings. Models can also be mathematical, consisting of equations or other formal representations. Finally, physical models consist of physical objects that possess or represent some characteristics of the real thing.

The solar system is often modeled conceptually in the classroom by describing the planets as huge balls moving about an even larger Sun. A mathematical model of the solar system should include quantitative descriptions of the gravitational forces between the planets and the Sun as determined by their masses and distances from one another, and might include the shape of a planet's orbit as being elliptical. And finally, a physical model of the solar system might consist of a series of scale-size balls placed at appropriate distances throughout a room or hallway.

Other examples from the Earth and physical sciences include models of shorelines and continental plates as well as stick-and-ball models of molecules. Physical models, such as those of the eye, leaf, and human torso, have been used in the life sciences for decades. Experiments with animals serving as models of human beings have been used to understand the effects of medical treatments that might be useful in preventing human diseases and bacteria have been used to model population growth and decay.

Similarly, conceptual models are common in both the biological and physical sciences. The simplified treatment of photosynthesis, the stages of meiosis and mitosis, accounting for an electrical current in a “water flow” analogy, and the characterization of gas molecules as bouncing balls are examples of commonly used conceptual models.

Mathematical models such as the gas laws and Newton’s laws of motion are major components of the physical sciences. In addition, some mathematical models, such as Mendel’s laws, have been part of the biological sciences for most of this century, whereas the Hardy-Weinberg formulation for describing ecosystems mathematically has become part of introductory biological knowledge more recently.

Models often serve as prototypes in technology, and in that case may be full-sized representations of the final product. However, models can be used to test the workings of technology without costly investments in full-scale objects. Small boats and airplanes are tested in tanks and wind tunnels before their full-size counterparts are built. In this way, many experiments can be tested inexpensively to optimize the design.

Models can be easily developed as a theme and can be linked to the immediate experiences of children because they have grown up with a variety of toys. Children readily understand that most toys are models that look like the real objects (such as cars, airplanes, babies, and animals) but do not possess all the attributes of those objects. Many of these toys are models, sometimes scale models, of objects from the natural world. For example, models of dinosaurs enable children to develop ideas about what these creatures were like.

The models theme has been selected because of the importance of enabling students to distinguish the idealizations of models from the phenomena themselves. Students need to understand that a model of the human eye does not represent all aspects of human eyes as they occur in human organisms. The model is a simplification, leaving unrepresented many important variations in human eye structure, yet the simplification has utility in illuminating some features of the eye and enables new questions about the eye to be generated.

Students need to understand the limitations and simplifying assumptions that underlie the varied models used in the natural sciences. For example, beliefs that models are replicas of real objects or events can negate the critical concept of variation that many models do not take into account. Although generalized models, such as a generalized graph of growth in populations, are useful, they are not to be confused with a graph of the growth of a particular organism or population or with a graph of data from a single experiment.

Grade 4

At this level, models should be identified by students as representations of objects or events. Students can examine both conceptual and physical models in terms of how they are like and not like the object or event being represented. Examples can be models of insects, seeds, leaves, and other physical objects. These models and others in the sciences can be linked to children's experiences with scale models of cars, dinosaurs, doll furniture, and so forth.

Grade 8

Students should have knowledge of both conceptual and physical models and their uses and limitations. For example, when asked to illustrate their understanding of vertebrate structure and function with models of skeletons of different vertebrates, students need to be aware of variations in real skeletons and the generalized nature of the replicas.

Grade 12

Mathematical, physical, and conceptual models should be familiar to students beyond grade 8. It is appropriate to assess students' ability to formalize the concept of models and their uses and limitations in the natural sciences and in technology.

Appendix D

Science Content Outlines (Excerpts*)

* Complete content outlines appear in the **Specifications** Document

Science Content Outlines (Excerpts)

Grade 4—Earth Science

A. Solid Earth (lithosphere)

1. Composition of the Earth:

- Students can classify substances such as soil, sand, or rock.
- Students can identify common geographic features of landscapes.

2. Forces that alter the Earth's surface:

- Students can describe/explain basic facts about major features of the Earth's surface and natural changes in those features (for example, volcanoes, glaciers):
 - Students can predict the effects of weathering (for example, rain and wind on sand piles, mud piles, rock).
 - Students can describe the relative difference in time it takes to erode a sand pile, a mud pile, and a rock pile (*Conceptual Understanding, Patterns of Change*).
 - Given a picture, topographical map or globe, or word description of a major Earth feature (for example, canyon, mountain range, Great Lake, cavern, island), students can identify a geologic force that contributed to producing that feature (*Conceptual Understanding, Models*).

3. Rocks: Their formation, characteristics, and uses:

- Students can identify common rocks and minerals and can explain how we can investigate what they are made of and how they form:
 - Students can classify rock samples according to color, texture, or other identifying properties (*Scientific Investigation, Nature of Science*).
 - Students can explain that molten rock comes out of volcanoes, hardens, and becomes part of the landscape (*Conceptual Understanding, Patterns of Change*).

4. Soil: its changes and uses:

- Students know some facts about the composition of soil.
- Students can separate soil samples into component parts (*Scientific Investigation, Nature of Science, Systems*).
- Students recognize that plants grow in soil and that soil provides both nutrients and support for the plant.
- Students can classify and relate major solid types of soil (for example, clay, sand, loam, subsoil) to their ability to support plant growth; that is, students can identify/predict the major plant types likely to grow in those soils (*Conceptual Understanding, Nature of Science*).

5. Resources from the Earth used by humankind:

- Students can identify Earth resources used in everyday life.
- Students can identify common uses of rock in the human environment (for example, buildings, roads, walls) (*Practical Reasoning, Nature of Technology*).
- Students can explain/identify that gasoline is processed from oil, which is pumped from the Earth (*Practical Reasoning, Nature of Technology*).

Grade 8—Life Science

A. Cells and their functions

1. Cells:

- Students can describe their observations of cells under the microscope:
 - Students can demonstrate the use of a microscope to examine a tissue, plant, or animal and to differentiate between plant and animal cells (for example, students can look at an animal cell and a plant cell and notice that an animal cell is flexible and a plant cell is not) (*Scientific Investigation, Systems*);
 - Students can look at pond water through a microscope and describe outstanding features/activities of the protista they

see (for example, locomotion, nutrition, excretion) (*Scientific Investigation*).

—Students can observe diatoms and try to distinguish as many features as possible (*Scientific Investigation*).

- Students can explain, in a general way, the advantages of cellular interdependence versus independence (for example, multicellular animals versus single-celled animals).
- Students can describe, in general terms, the difference between asexual and sexual reproduction in cells and the advantages and disadvantages of each (**the stages of mitosis are not to be tested**).

B. Organisms

1. Reproduction, growth, and development:

- Students can describe growth, development, and reproduction of the human organism:

—Students can identify the age ranges at which human beings go through common stages of development (for example, can recognize their parents; can learn to walk, talk, and socialize; can conceive or give birth) (*Conceptual Understanding, Patterns of Change*).

—Students can identify the changes human beings undergo at puberty and can explain their functions (*Conceptual Understanding, Patterns of Change*).

—Students can, in simple terms, describe changes in human embryo development and the effects of environmental influences such as smoking, drugs, disease, and the mother's diet on the development of the embryo (*Conceptual Understanding, Patterns of Change*).

2. Life cycles:

- Students can identify some major influences on the human life cycle (for example, diet, disease):

—Students can discuss the influence of diet and food availability on human life cycles worldwide (*Practical Reasoning, Patterns of Change*).

- Students can explain that microorganisms can cause disease and can identify some common diseases caused by microorganisms such as bacteria, viruses, protista (**differences between viruses and bacteria are not to be tested**) (*Conceptual Understanding*).
- Students can describe the immune system of animals as helping the animal fight disease and as controlled, in part, by the white blood cells in the body (*Conceptual Understanding*).

3. Functions and interactions of systems within organisms:

- Students are aware that, although different systems of the body have different functions, the functioning of each system affects other systems (for example, students can describe/identify major organ systems of the human body, state their major functions, and describe some of their interactions):
 - Students can describe the primary tissues of the body (for example, blood, lymph, muscle) and relate the special characteristics of each to its function (*Conceptual Understanding, Systems*).
 - Students can distinguish cells from other structures under the microscope (for example, between an onion cell and a salt crystal) (*Scientific Investigation, Systems*).
 - Students can describe how two or more organs of the body work together to perform a function (for example, the heart and lungs working together in respiration) (*Conceptual Understanding, Systems*).
- Students demonstrate an understanding of the functions and interactions of organ systems to maintain a stable internal environment that can resist disturbances from within or without (homeostasis).

Grade 12—Physical Science

A. Matter and its transformations

1. Diversity of matter (materials): Classification and types, particulate nature of matter, conservation of matter:

- Students can distinguish/classify objects, both regular and irregular; pure substances, both elements and compounds; and mixtures, both homogeneous (solutions, liquids, gases) and nonhomogeneous.
- Students can describe, measure, and compare substances in terms of mass, volume, and density/specific gravity:
 - Given a substance of unknown volume or weight and appropriate laboratory equipment, students can determine its specific gravity (*Scientific Investigation*).
 - Given an irregular solid and the appropriate laboratory equipment, students can determine the density of an object (*Scientific Investigation*).
 - Students can offer a simplified distinction between weight and density (*Conceptual Understanding*).
- Students can identify evidence that matter is composed of tiny particles (for example, atoms, molecules) and that the particles are in motion (kinetic molecular theory).
- Students can define, describe, and contrast physical, chemical, and nuclear changes in molecular terms. Given various examples of changes in materials, students can distinguish among chemical, physical, and nuclear changes (*Conceptual Understanding, Patterns of Change*).
- Students can discuss the conservation of matter in physical, chemical, and nuclear changes (**can also be tested under temperature and states of matter, or energy and its transformations**).

2. Temperature and states of matter (physical changes):

- Students can discuss/identify the relationship of physical states of matter to molecular energy:

- Students can associate energy states with molecular motion (*Conceptual Understanding*).
- Students can discuss/identify the energy transfers involved in the change of phase from solid to liquid to gas and the reverse (**also tested under energy and its transformations**) (*Conceptual Understanding, Patterns of Change*).
- Students can discuss/identify the relationship of physical changes in substances (for example, melting, boiling, thermal expansion and contraction, compression and expansion under pressure, increase or decrease in density) to changes in the structural organization of the atoms or molecules of which they are composed:
 - Students can explain how antifreeze solutions work to prevent freezing of water in car radiators (*Practical Reasoning, Systems*).
 - Students can explain why NaCl (sodium chloride/salt) is added to ice when making ice cream (*Practical Reasoning*).

3. Properties and uses of materials: Modifying properties, synthesis of materials with new properties:

- Students can relate the physical properties (for example, compressibility, structural rigidity) of pure substances in solid, liquid, and gaseous states to the structural organization of particles in the substance and their freedom of motion. Students can explain/identify that the molecules in a crystal are arranged in a regular pattern that gives the crystal rigidity and causes it to take a simple geometric shape (*Conceptual Understanding, Models*).
- Students can examine/utilize useful properties of materials:
 - Given an unknown liquid and a universal indicator with a chart, students can determine the pH of the liquid (*Scientific Investigation*).
 - Given an unknown marking pen, students can use paper chromatography to identify the brand of marking pen from among several other brands (*Scientific Investigation, Nature of Science*).

- Students can describe how common artificial materials are made, recognizing that substances can be designed to have certain properties and that the addition of relatively small amounts of some substances can significantly alter the properties.
- Students can describe how common artificial materials are disposed of or recycled and can discuss the technological and environmental issues involved in these processes.

4. Resource management:

- Students can discuss scientific, technological, and social issues involved in resource management.
- Students can discuss the issue of worker safety in manufacturing processes that involve poisonous chemicals (*Practical Reasoning, Nature of Technology*).

Appendix E

Science Committees and Project Staff

NAEP Science Project Team

Staff

Ramsay W. Selden

Director
State Education Assessment
Center
Council of Chief State School
Officers

Richard C. Clark

Consensus Coordinator
Council of Chief State School
Officers

Julia H. Mitchell

Associate Research Scientist
American Institutes for
Research

Senta A. Raizen

Director
National Center for Improving
Science Education

Bonnie L. Verrico

Administrative Assistant
Council of Chief State School
Officers

Steering Committee Members

William O. Baker, Retired

AT&T Bell Laboratories
Murray Hill, New Jersey

Mary Louise Bellamy

Education Director
National Association of
Biology Teachers
Reston, Virginia

Frank Betts

Director
Curriculum Technology Center
Association for Supervision
and Curriculum Development
Alexandria, Virginia

William B. Campbell

Executive Director
National Industry Council for
Science Education
College Park, Maryland

Glenn A. Crosby

Professor
Chemistry Department
Washington State University
Pullman, Washington

Gerald Difford

Executive Director
Colorado Association of
Schools
Englewood, Colorado

Janice Earle

Director
Center on Educational Equity
National Association of State
Boards of Education
Alexandria, Virginia

John Fowler

Director
Triangle Coalition for Science
and Technology
College Park, Maryland

Johnnie Hamilton

Principal
Franklin Intermediate School
Chantilly, Virginia

Elam Hertzler, Retired

Secretary's Commission on
Achieving Necessary Skills
Washington, D.C.

Ann Kahn, Former President

Parent Teachers Association
Fairfax, Virginia

Douglas Lapp

Director
National Science Resources
Center
Smithsonian Institution
Washington, D.C.

John Layman

Director
Science Teaching Center
University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland

Harold Pratt

Executive Director
Science and Technology
Management
Jefferson County Schools
Golden, Colorado

Douglas Reynolds

Chief
Bureau of Science Education
State Department of Education
Albany, New York

Bella Rosenberg

Assistant to the President
American Federation of
Teachers
Washington, D.C.

Jane Sisk

Biology Teacher
Calloway County High School
Murray, Kentucky

Judith Torney-Purta

Department of Human
Development
University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland

**Planning Committee
Members****Andrew Ahlgren**

Associate Director
Project 2061
American Association for the
Advancement of Science
Washington, D.C.

Bill Aldridge

Executive Director
National Science Teachers'
Association
Washington, D.C.

J. Myron Atkin

Professor
School of Education
Stanford University
Stanford, California

Joan Boykoff Baron

Assessment Coordinator
Connecticut Common Core of
Learning
Bureau of Evaluation and
Student Assessment
Connecticut Department of
Education
Hartford, Connecticut

Audrey Champagne

Professor
School of Education
State University of New York
Albany, New York

Sally Crissman

Lower School Head/Science
Teacher
Shady Hill School
Cambridge, Massachusetts

Edmund W. Gordon

Professor
Department of Psychology
Yale University
New Haven, Connecticut

Henry Heikkinen

Director
M.A.S.T. Center, University of
Northern Colorado
Greeley, Colorado

George Hein

Professor
Lesley College
Cambridge, Massachusetts

Joseph L. Premo

Science Consultant
Minneapolis Public Schools
Minneapolis, Minnesota

Senta A. Raizen

Director
National Center for Improving
Science Education
Washington, D.C.

James Robinson, Retired

Curriculum and Evaluation
Boulder Valley Schools
Boulder, Colorado

Thomas P. Sachse

Manager
Math and Science Unit
California Department of
Education
Sacramento, California

Gary E. Skaggs

Test Development Analyst
Office of Research and
Evaluation
Fairfax County Public Schools
Falls Church, Virginia

